#### State of Arizona

### COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 23-108
Judge:	
Complainant:	

#### **ORDER**

September 15, 2023

The complainant alleged improper legal rulings and delay by a superior court judge hearing a juvenile case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Barbara Brown and Michael J. Brown did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on September 15, 2023.

## CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

## FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2023-108

## COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:		Judge's Name:						
Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.								
My name is reference to case number		g a complaint against the Court in						
On or around the Court ruled in favor of the motion by delivering a judgement ordering to terminate my parental rights pursuant to ARS 8-533 (B)(8)(C) and best interests as placement is going to adopt by the named Judge								
( , the department removed a old child from my care for the same allegations used for the termination trial. Less than hours later the child was determined safe by the and yet the Department provided evidence supporting their grounds for termination pursuant to ARS 8-533 (B)(8)(C) "								
On or around	ir request of parental term a motion for recons o the jurisdiction begin he	sideration was filed by counsel in the						
On or around	an appeal was filed shortly after the termination and affirmed.							
On or around	A petition to the	e Court was filed.						
On or around	the	Court Denied review.						
On or around	a petition to the	Court of the United States						
On or around	the Cou	urt of the United States denied review.						
On or around I filed a motion to intervene in case number to the Court. This motion was not filed due to exhausted efforts, but filed due the evidence begin presented on the case that shows a larger discrepency in the determining factor of a safe environment.								
On or around	all parties we	ere notified and given days to respond.						
On or around On or around respond for the	the an order was by	was to respond. received with a minute entry granting an extention to						

#### CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY						
Г						

# COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE Name: Judge's Name: Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records. On I filed a motion for Default Judgement by Hearing on the same day that this Court order the to respond no later than On the Assitant Attorney General filed a responds for the On I filed a motion to strike the esponse. a Motion to Show Cause was filed. On As of there has been no response for more than days to the Motion to Intervene as well as the Default Judgment, which is an Arizona Constutional violation of the Judicial process, Section 21 of Article VI. understand as to the delay considering that this Judge is being asked to review a case where he may have been mislead to terminate my parental rights and by allowing this case to proceed would open up a pandora's box on the operations and serious misconducts of the on this case. I want to speak on the issues that have been presented to the Court. However, I am concerned that by the judge not making a decision on the pending motions that there may be a clear bias in support of the a violation of my due process of the procedures of this court set by the Arizona Constition Section 21 Article VI, and and overall professional misconduct of the judicial administration.