

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 23-113

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

July 5, 2023

The complainant alleged a superior court judge failed to report the misconduct of two other judicial officers.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Joseph C. Kreamer did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on July 5, 2023.

Complaint against _____ Court Division Presiding Judge
Court

PLEASE NOTE : I have inclusive complaints against Pro Tem Judge _____, made
on _____ case # _____ & one against _____ Commisisoner _____, made
that relate to the facts in this matter. Perhaps all three matters are to be consolidated.

PLEASE NOTE that I do not recall that your agency issued me a case # for the matter against
Commissioner _____ > If not, please share that case # with me.

The complaint against _____ Judge _____ [hereinafter _____] is that he failed in his
obligations under your code Rule 2.15 sections C & D to report or otherwise act on credible
information he [received] of the misconduct[s] of Commissioner _____ & Judge _____.

I filed motion on _____ & supplemental mOotion on 1 _____ for a change of judge to
_____. That should have informed him to a credible degree that there is a likelihood that both
& _____, committed violations of your code of judicial conduct and violations of other
Constitutional provisions [outside your commissions jurisdiction].

Besides the alleged omissions to act against _____, I believe his omissions possibly constitute a
violation[s] of his duties to promote impartiality, fairness of the judiciary and act to instill public
trust therein.

I have cause to believe that in supervisory _____ Judge position, _____, has a greater duty to
act reasonably to ensure credibility of his particular division and the judiciary as a whole and to
Instill a public perception that he acts to ensure FAIRNESS to all litigants that come before the
court.

IF _____, had of acted, reviewed materials he would have reviewed the audio of hearings with
_____ on _____, my Motion To Vacate Settlement Agreement filed _____ and other
materials. Such as _____ ORDERS of _____ & _____ & _____.

Thank You