State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 23-116

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

December 22, 2023

The Complainant alleged a justice of the peace had an ex parte conversation with a police officer which caused the judge to rule against him.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Roger D. Barton, Louis Frank Dominguez, and Regina L. Nassen did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on December 22, 2023.

JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT OFFICE COMP 1501 W. WASHINGTON ST. PHEONIX, AZ. 85007 SOUTE 229 2023-116 lase Sir, I believe l was a victim of Indicial Misconduct in Justice court, County I entered the Justice Court office on after experiencing havrasement ie coming out to the and as I drove by yelling, aising and flipping me de bird with both hands in the arr. I wasn't sure what type of order I meeded against type and was asking questions of the court clerk. Justice of the

Peace was standing near the derk, over heard what I was asking and came out to the lobby and was telling me what type of order I needed. After he explained l'needed a Harrase ment order the conversation turned to gold mining, some thing we both were interested in. He was very pleasant to me. It ended with me thinking Hee, if this guyind was my neighbor we would probably go mining together. Afterwards he called

he called me into court. where he set a hearing date. for the davrasement order with - to be there I was given the name of the constable who would serve the order and hearing date. I told Justice that la 100% Disabled Vetoran with a £ Well I did something wrong in the process, although of don't know and. didn't get served. I made the hearing date

didn't. Justice but ecolded me, was mean and a whole different person from the man I talked to in the lobby of the court. I was baffled, got the paperwork for another haveasement order. Justice had dismissed the first one. Previously I had filed a complaint with the Co. Sheriff's Dept, over another matter where I had was verbally assualted, blocked from continuing on my way committed and

orininal damage on my wehicle bat wasn't charge . From my complaint, was charged, the DA is prosecuting The that was reviewing my complaint was S.gt. of which happens to be of the Instice Court in . I believe Justic. had a in building conversation with my sgt. about my complaint against Co. Sheriff Dept., an act of Judicial misconduct and

THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.

FOR ACCESS TO THE REMAINDER OF THE COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER, PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST IN WRITING TO THE COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT AND REFERENCE THE COMMISSION CASE NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.