

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 23-120

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

September 15, 2023

The complainant alleged a conspiracy within a sorority which profits off his art.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Barbara Brown did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on September 15, 2023.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2023-120

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

the named judge is ~~active~~ active in my case appearing on city court website. she was or is active in and had knowledge of exculpatory evidence hidden in the case and used their propaganda to intimidate me in my ~~second~~ court date aiding a retaliation agenda on their behalf in city court in cooperation of the city prosecutor Mayor who are a part of this society that preserves my art. They profited of the exculpatory evidence while not providing discovery and with hold due process in a proper UN bias attempt. See court recording and 9th circuit amicus crisis letter in the anti camping act (Martin vs Gater Pass).