

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 23-123

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

August 28, 2023

The complainant alleged improper legal rulings by an appellate court judge hearing a criminal case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Denise K. Aguilar, Louis F. Dominguez, and Christopher P. Staring did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on August 28, 2023.

Complaint About a Judge (5)

1) _____ Judge _____
 2) _____ (2) _____)
 _____ (3) _____)

3) PHONE: _____

4) Judge _____

5) court _____

6) Did you have a case before this Judge? YES

a) CASE NO _____

b) ?? DO I put THE () ATTORNEYS IN _____ OR _____ ()

c) none _____

7) I UNDERSTAND THE COMMISSION CANNOT REVERSE COURT ORDERS OR ASSIGN A NEW JUDGE: YES

8) ~~PLEASE READ THE~~

I AFFIRM UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY, THAT THE FOREGOING INFORMATION AND THE ALLEGATION CONTAINED IN THE ATTACHED COMPLAINT ARE TRUE

complaint about A Judge

1)

2)

3)

ON OR ABOUT THESE JUDGES ON
 FAILED TO SUSPEND THE APPEAL PROCESS IN ACCORDANCE
 TO RULE 3(b) (FORMER RULE 9.1) .

AM I TO UNDERSTAND THEY COULD NOT STOP THE
 APPEAL PROCESS BECAUSE THIS CASE IS SO SCREWED UP
 THAT THEY DON'T KNOW WHO IF ANYBODY HAS
 JURISDICTION IN THIS CASE, OR WHAT RELIEF IS
 APPLICABLE, IF IS ENTITLED TO

FROM PRISON HIS SENTENCES FOR COUNT 1, AND Y
~~BE~~ HAVING BEEN SEVERED, BECAUSE WAS DENIED
 HIS RIGHT TO APPEAL AND ARE WAITING AND DOING
 EVERYTHING IN THEIR POWER TO HINDER
 RIGHTS INSTEAD OF DOING THE RIGHT THING