State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 23-132
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

November 29, 2023

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge (now retired) was biased in his rulings in a guardianship case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Delia R. Neal did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on November 29, 2023.

Judicial Council of the County Circuit Page 2 COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT OR DISABILITY

If you are (were) a party and have (had) a lawyer, give the lawyer's name, address, and telephone number:

Brief Statement of Facts. Attach a brief statement of the specific facts on which the claim of judicial misconduct or disability is based. Include what happened, when and where it happened, and any information that would help an investigator check the facts. If the complaint alleges judicial disability, also include any additional facts that form the basis of that allegation.

was severely Judge appears to have a bias in this case. The Petitioner, which notice was sent to his former sanctioned for missing a contested hearing that was set for , who subsequently passed since that hearing. Judge attorney of record, received evidence of her admitted mistake for placing the hearing on her calendar for informed the Court that she was ill causing her inability to attend the in person hearing. The Court and he waited by the phone pursuant to his attorney's instructions. promised to call Therefore, only participants in the hearing was Attorney for the Court appointed attorney and the Guardian ad Litem The judge proceeded with a one sided hearing that did who had misappropriated over not allow for objections, evidence or cross-examination of from their mother which was also in evidence which was the reason why for Conservatorship/Guardianship. In his order of indicated that he would Judge appeared to be willing to consider the facts admitted into evidence. consider the facts Judge Petitioner filed several documents providing evidence of legal malpractice and also stated by sworn contested hearing date and his attorney did affidavit that he was unable to hear the scheduled not provide him with a copy of the order to remind him; thereby he was dependent upon her reminding him of the hearing date which she did not calendar correctly. The Court has sent copies of deadlines/court until after his attorney was removed but not related information directly to has attorney of record but both received important court ordered notifications from the case. which is the first bias I've witnessed. The second bias is missed deadlines. missed the but did not file it deadline for filing his witnesses/exhibit list which was due on or before without any sanctions for failing to file in accordance with the Court's Order. until hearing but based upon the and his attorney was ordered to appear at the aforementioned issues, he was not there and the Court determined that he abandoned his interest in the case

Judicial Council of the County Circuit Page 3 COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT OR DISABILITY

Additionally, in the hearing of	Judge	granted an oral request	t made by	
to allow to file an am	ended budget. The	budget was due on or befor	re	
	ing date excluding h		, we	
(and myself) verified that th	e amended budget ha	ad not been filed by the Cle	rk of the Court	
on in AZ	Z. Furthermore, ther	e has been no extension reque	st for additional	
however, there has been no penalties issued f	for missing the agreed	upon deadline. This date was	s not included in	
the Order but was discussed and the attorney	was fully aware of the	deadline has he stated it would	ld take his client	
days to submit an amended budget. Addi	tionally, at the hearing	g of Judge	ordered all the	
parties to participate to resolve all issues pen	ding before the Court.	As directed, all parties met a	and conferred on	
, telephonically and was pla	nning to have a subse	quent meeting to continue atte	empts to resolve	
		ormal settlement conference, l		
pending motions apparently without considering the evidence. I have first-hand knowledge of this request as he				
stated during the hearing that he wanted the f	amily to heal and resol	ve the issues before the Court	t. In essence, he	
disregarded his own order requiring the part	ies to amicably resolv	e the issues which had been	before him. The	
bias in this case is supported by the record of the Court. Both parties are from the same family; however, one				
receives leniency and the other receives no co	onsideration in lieu of	supporting evidence in the cas	se.	
Declaration and signature:				
Deciai ation and signature.				
I declare under penalty of perjury that the statements made in this complaint are true and correct to the best of my				
knowledge.				
	\cap			
G: 1 C	1 1	Date:		
Signature:		Date.		
	1,000	Carlo Carretter Control		