State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition	of Compl	laint 23-135
-------------	----------	--------------

Judge:

Complainants:

ORDER

November 9, 2023

The Complainants alleged a municipal court judge was rude in a Zoom hearing.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Colleen E. Concannon did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on November 9, 2023.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2023-135

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE				
Name:	Judge's Name:			
Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additiona pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.				
On and I had a zoom hearing with Judge being the judge. This judge was very upset and furious with us when we started the meeting and our camera on our laptop was shaking. He used very improper demeanour. He had us shut down our laptop and bring it back up with his patience being very slim. The camera once again starting shaking, so he directed us once again to shut it off and bring up the laptop again, we were then directed by an assistance to click on something on the laptop and corrected the issue. My opinion things will happen, not everything is correctly mastered. The third time we were asked to bring up the laptop there was at least minutes delay before we were able to continue our meeting, the connection time was minutes and during the time and I feel the plaintiff was being coached and told what to say. We feel the judge was very biased toward the plaintiff. This hearing pertained to order of protections. We were able to send in documentation before this zoom date and time and we submitted emails which I do not think the judge reviewed but he did state (pictures were attached to one email)				
When the zoom meeting started I, had a pen that I was coaching which I wasn't, only taki	in my hand writing notes to review, the judge stated ng notes for later use.			
Going into a zoom meeting, or any meeting for that fact, is a frightening experience for all involved, and his demeanour was very improper. Judges make rulings on the law, rulings that the people must believe came from competent, lawful and independent judicial officers. Implementing a meaningful way for the public to be protected from judicial misconduct is vital there has to be a review. We feel that this zoom meeting was improperly conducted. To quoe former United States President Theordore Roosevelt "No man is above the law and no man is below it: nor do we ask any man's permission when we ask him to obey it. Obedience to the law is demanded as a right, not asked as a favor. The judges who administer justice in our countries must be seen as ethical and subject to meaningful correction when it is necessary Nothing less than the rule of law is at stake.				
After the zoom meeting was completed, we received years, with no expiration date on the form that was a could be a lot more explanatory and we feel that we been more helpful instead of having a demeanor of	emailed to us. We think the order of protection system were so unprepared and Judge could have			
minutes of reentering the zoom meeting by the it	nduct and she stated she would take care of this			

,	CONFIDENTIAL Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007	FOR OFFICE USE ONLY			
	COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE				
	Name: Judge's Nam	Honorable ne:			
Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional page may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.					