## State of Arizona

## COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 23-142
Judge:	
Complainant:	

## **ORDER**

September 22, 2023

The complainant alleged a justice of the peace pro tem issued biased rulings against him in an eviction matter.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Denise K. Aguilar, Barbara Brown, and Louis Frank Dominguez did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on September 22, 2023.

CONFIDENTIAL			FOR OFFICE USE ONLY
Place;	Court		
Eviction vs		.	

Did in fact as Documented Court Record, which what makes this Judges Case 100% Judge more egregious despite his inappropriate Negligence and attitude threatening this tenant Pro-Se self representing individual after forcing the judge, Not as Answer and Motion Requested which was Denied Without being heard on which addressed the fraud being committed against the court and this tenant, plus the Proper Procedure rule for filing and sending proper notice which was not followed as the opening which had been as showed in court record that due to the Plaintiffs lawyer of court hearing dated who failed to Provide timely Notice which caused the Tenant Appellate Decision which Affirmed lower courts failure to provide a Pro-Ses Due Process of law and equal justice under the law(included) to why who found as he ruled and failed to reverse his inproper Court Judge of the decisions upon Requested Motion for Review which he denied I a more expanded ruling which he sites all the improper decisions of the lower court that this complaintant is addressing and asking this honorable body to take action for as I fight back through Civil means to get the satisfaction and Court ruling I should have be provided by a jury. Most importantly, the Audio records will Prove the truth of my complaint that documents can't. Starting with the FACTS:

FRAUDULENT CLAIMS were Provided to the Courthouse which 1, ON who's Statement in court testimoney admitting to the court claimed by Attorney he filed the complaint, blaming his client for including him as a person in the complaint, and it was he and whom he called and is the only plaintiff witness (' though Plaintiff husband of the Plaintiff And the Owner/Sister With whom I do have a binding lease and BOTH were in every court zoom hearing meeting yet never called ') but he called neither Owner as a witness using only 3rd party Hearsay which the Judge said was what testimony was as the Judge awho called to the stand which permitted multiple attempts for Attorney both knew they were falsly providing the court with false evidence against this tenant they were using to Court Fines against them and using it to defraud the tenant of the money I paid forward for on my TRUE LEASE AGGREEMENT WITH and in the Bench hearing who worked makes clear in the Bench Trial it was himself and Attorney together to bring the complaint for Eviction action this complainant.

Again I hesitate to say positivity since the person he claims he filed irreparable Breech of Lease Agreement for did he never. Ever call to testify to the Accusations, neither did he submit a Lease Agreement Signed between me and for which the Appellate references in his Affirmation affirming appellants Appeal. That only from both cases was it the Attorney filed with the court and Sent threatening false Irreparable Breech of Lease Claims, Court Documents filing on behalf of as the records showing the court website, but Never, NEVER in any court proceedings, through out court cases

CONFIDENTIAL		FOR OFFICE USE ONLY			
and	does the Attorney who made				
the claim to represent did he c	all her to testify at any hearing or				
bench trial, for the record, NEV	ER did he, Judge				
	er or see the fraudulent Lease				
		ney present to the court, either in			
		idence in disclosure or court hearings			
•		GING THIS FACTUALITY SUPPORTED			
STATEMENTS AGAINST JUDGE		S, and MALICIOUS actions that denied			
		which a fire took place on the property			
		ut it out BOARDER ING ON CRIMINAL			
•	•	and failure by the court of APPEALS,			
		seless claim that at NO TIME is any			
	Appellate refer to, as no lease ago	es Postal Office a federally Protected			
		ndence to threaten, harass, send			
fraudulentArizona Commission		fidence to threaten, marass, send			
Traudule III Arrizona Commission	on summar conduct				
1501 W Washington Charact Cui					
1501 W. Washington Street, Sui Phoenix, Arizona 85007	tte 229				
rhoemx, Arizona 33007					
COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE					
Name:					
Name:					
Judge's Name:					

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

CONFIDENTIAL	FOR OFFICE USE ONLY
owner or lease is offered and upon word, Judge Excludes m and then uses it as evidence on behalf of t Lawyer and his fraudu dicects out of context and a clear violation such a fashion as Judge excluded was between myself and PROCESS OF LAW and due to his MALICIOU IN LAW WHICH THE APPEALS JUDGE DENII INJUSTICE THAT'S WAS JUDGE Appellants protection from injustice, now of Equal Justice you clearly are the the las accountable for his action I ask if you recommed to The Bar Associat the federal Crime of Sending fraudulant to court ordered me to pay lawyers fees a Appellate court improper Error in law a the court makes clear the minute entry the hearing.  Submitted for you to view are documenta I await your response and decision.  Respectfully, I leave it to yours	y lease he The llant accusation of Breech of Lease which he n of which my lease demands not be used in who knowingly understood the lease he and he used it to DENY DUE US Action which the Appellate used his ERROR ED UTS CONSTITUTIONAL DUTY TO CORRECT responsibility and the last word to an thope that can speak for me and hold Judge in as well as Attorney who cion for sanction and possible Disbarment for threats and seeking financial gain which the and improper Minute Entry which audio or is a misrepresentation of the actual facts of
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007	
COMPLAINT AGAINST	A JUDGE

Name:	
Judge's Name:	

							_	
	*			CIVIL M	MNUTE E	NTRY		ASE NO.
PLAINTIFF(S):						,		1102110.
			•0	PLAINT	FF'S ATTO	ORNEY:		
VS DEFENDANT(S)	•						Defendant's	Address:
DEFENDANTO	•	, j						
				DEFEND	DANT'S AT	TORNEY:		
			HON					
COURT DATE:		TIME:		HEAR	ING TYPE	Eviction Action	on.	
PLAINTIFF:	Pre	esent		Not Present		By Counsel		
DEFENDANT:	✓Pre	esent		Not Presen		By Counsel		
YOUR NEXT CO	URT DAT	E IS: Evic	tion Actio	n on		at	lasti	ng l minutes.
·							201	
								**************************************
						***************************************		TT
							ĘĘ	0
							DEPUT	COURT
Plaintiff moves to is GRANTED.	continue ar	nd requests	leave to	serve by alt	ternative m	eans. Plaintiff's	motion for	alternative service
is GRANTED.						A		257
To	elephone:		. ZO	OM ID:		7	v 92	
1101.	4	_	11-			1	_ [	. 4
HSH	的社	) 21	2010		10000	15	3110	LNO
		4 C.		244	-	1 2		4
MOHOS	103	5 17	W	100	doll	T D	<b>3431</b>	-
			(40)		,	_	14	1 Come
DATED:								2 Donas
			USTICE	OF THE P	EACE 🔲	HEARING OFF	ICER P	RO TEM
ALL PARTIES IN A WITHIN (14) CALE								
EVICTION CASE TO	TE TIME LIM	IT SHALL B	E (5) CALEN	DAR DAYS.	THERE ARE	NO APPEALS FRO	M A SMALL C	LAIMS JUDGMENT.
PURSUANT TO REC						XHIBIT(S) WILL E	BE DESTROYE	D UPON DISMISSAL,
		Runner	-	Hand	Telephone /		N.	
Copy/Notification To:	US Mail	Service	Email	Delivered	Voice Mail		Court Use On	у
Plaintiff(s)						1 /	145	
Plaintiff(s) Attorney						DATE:		
Defendant(s)	-					4		У.
						64		
Defendant(s) Attorney Garnishee	-					BY:		

\* Interest rate shall be at the lesser of ten cent per annum or at a rate per annum that is equal to one per cent plus the prime rate as published by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.

Page 1 of 1

## EVELOSE NO DALY INSPECTION

found at move-out that were not herein listed on move-in. The Parties agree that if the condition of an item on move-out is worse than the condition noted on move-in, then Tenant will be responsible for paying Landlord for the cost of any increase in disrepair, deterioration, or malfunction beyond normal wear and tear as defined in the Agreement. To prevent confusion at move-out, Landlord recommends that Tenant send Landlord photographic and/or video evidence within five (5) days of move-in of any pre-existing damages at the time of the Agreement and that Tenant also keeps copies of such evidence.

GIA Omes tetucson Promi

HAZARD DETECTORS. Tenant acknowledges that all smoke detectors, carbon monoxide detectors, and fire extinguishers were tested in Tenant's presence and found to be in working order, and that the testing procedure was explained to Tenant. Tenant agrees to test all detectors at least once a month and to report any problems to Landlord in writing.

NM

TENANT'S COPY. The Parties further agree that a copy of this joint inspection was provided to Tenant.

LANDLOKD:			
Print:	Owner of Property		Brother
Sign		, Date:	
TENANT(S):		,	
Print:	Ø ~~ ~		<b>4</b> 300
Sign	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Date:	-7.

DALYS CLAIM of lease

MR CHAMP ARE ESTRE HURWING

THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.

FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.