

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 23-157

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

September 22, 2023

The complainant alleged a superior court judge was biased against him by finding his children dependent and ordering drug testing.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Denise K. Aguilar, Barbara Brown, and Louis Frank Dominguez did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on September 22, 2023.

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

2023-157

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name:

[Empty box for Name]

Judge's Name:

JUDGE

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

MY CASE STARTED ON [ ] MONTHS AFTER THE FIRST CASE WAS DISMISSED DUE TO LACK OF EVIDENCE AND JUDGE [ ] STATING THAT THE MATERNAL GMA COERCED MY SON [ ] TO SAY THAT WE WERE DOING THE THINGS SHE ACCUSED US OF. SO THAT CASE WAS DISMISSED IN [ ] NOW WERE ON TO THE [ ] CASE. MGM CALLED AGAIN AND SAID THIS TIME WE ABUSED THE KIDS. [ ] GOT INVOLVED AND MET WITH US AND DECIDED THAT THEY WERE GOING TO TAKE THE KIDS AGAIN WITHOUT ANY EVIDENCE JUST THE SAME THING AS BEFORE ITS WHAT THE MGM SAID HEARING I WENT TO WAS PLACEMENT HEARING BECAUSE THE MGM WANTED THE KIDS WITH HER AND NOT MY DADS. WE NEVER EVEN HAD THE FIRST HEARING WHERE YOU CAN CONTEST AND WHICH ACCORDING TO THE TITLE 8 LAWS WE ARE SUPPOSED TO HAVE THAT WITHIN 5 OR 10 DAYS FROM REMOVAL. JUDGE [ ] ACTUALLY SERVED ME VERBALLY ON THE PHONE AT THAT HEARING IN [ ] OR [ ] WHICH WAS [ ] MONTHS AFTER REMOVAL. FAST FOWARD OF [ ] AT THE TRIAL DATE. [ ] HAD NO EVIDENCE ALL THEY HAD WAS A REPORT FROM MGM AND THAT WE WERE EVICTED WELL LAST I CHECKED YOU DONT LOSE YOUR KIDS DUE TO EVICTION WHEN YOU HAVE A ROOF OVER YOUR HEAD. THEN AT THE END OF THE HEARING JUDGE [ ] STATED BASED ON THE LAST CASE THAT WAS ALREADY DISMISSED THAT HE WAS MAKING THE KIDS DEPENDENT BASED ON THE EVICTION EVEN THOUGH I PROVED TO THE COURT THAT I HAD STABLE HOUSING. BASED ON THE WAY THE TRIAL ENDED IT WAS CLEAR THAT HE WAS BIASED AGAINST ME BECAUSE HE ALREADY GAVE ME KIDS BACK AND NOW BECAUSE THE MGM CALLED IN FALSE ABUSE ALLEGATIONS HE WAS KEEPING THEM. THE INITIAL CAI I WAS FOR THE ABUSE BUT YET HAS NOT BEEN BROUGHT UPO ONCE DURING THIS CASE. I STRONGLY BELIEVE JUDGE [ ] MADE UP HIS MIND BEFORE THE TRIAL EVEN STARTED BASE ON HOW FAST HE MADE HIS DETERMINATION. I KNOW THAT IM NOT THE ONLY ONE THIS HAPPENS TO. THE COURT CONSISTANTLY ALLOWS [ ] AND MY MGM TO COMMIT PERJURY. SOME ONE NEEDS TO BE HELP ACCOUNTABLE FOR THESE ACTIONS BECAUSE IN THE LONGTERM ALL THATS IS HAPPENING IS THEY ARE HURTING MY KIDS DEEPLY. THEY NEED THERAPY NOW WHICH THEY NEVER NEEDED IN THERE ENTIRE LIVES. THE JUDGES ALL THE WAY DOWN TO THE SOCIAL WORKERS NEED TO BE HELD ACCOUNTABLE AND I BELIEVE THEY SHOULD HAVE TO WEAR BODY CAMS

NOT SURE IF YOU CAN ADDRESS THIS AS WELL BUT THE KIDS GAL KEEPS TELLING MY KIDS THAT THEY WILL NOT COME BACK WITH US AND WHEN SHE ASKS WHERE THEY WANT TO LIVE SHE DOESNT LET THEM PICK US AND THEN THE DAY BEFORE THIS LAST HEARING ON [ ] SHE TOLD THEM THAT THEY WILL NOT BE COMMING BACK TO US.

ON TOP OF ALL THIS HE KEEPS ORDERING ME A DRUG A HAIR FOLLICLE DRUG TEST WHICH IS BASED ON FALSE ALI EGATIONS AND LIKE I STATED EARLIER HE EVEN SAID THAT LAST CASE ABOUT MGM TELLING [ ] WE DID DRUGS AND [ ] HAS NEVER TOLD ANYBODY THAT WE DO DRUGS BUT YET IT SAYS IT ALL OVER THE COURT DOCUMENTS. BUT AS STATED ON MULTIPLE LAW SITES IT STATES THAT A JUDGE CAN ONLY ORDER FOR URINE TESTING AND NOT HAIR FILLICLE. IT STATES THATS ITS TO INTRUSIVE. AND ON TOP OF THAT THERE IS NO PREPONDERANCE OF EVIDENCE FOR THE DRUG TEST LET FOR THIS CASE TO BE STILL GOING. LIKE I SAID IT IS A PERSONAL BIAS TOWARDS ME FROM LAST CASE THAT MGM LIED. THANK YOU FOR INVESTIGATING THIS MANNER.

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name:

Judge's Name:

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.