State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 23-161
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

September 29, 2023

The complainant alleged a superior court judge was biased against her and failed to look at all the evidence in a domestic relations case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Barbara Brown did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on September 29, 2023.

Clark Clark

GRIEVANCE

2023-161

I	am filing	this grievance with	Co	ourt for
the follov	ving reasons. I feel as	though Judge	has acted with Bias and prejudice of	during
the proce	eding on	and all throughou	t her orders to include the amended o	orders.
Listed be	low are the violations	s that I am referring	to. I am asking that the case mention	ed Case
No:			s possible so it could be heard without Bias	
prejudice.	Case Law also states tha	t when a Judge acts as	a trespasser of the law, when a Judge does i	not follow
the law, he	or she then loses subject	matter jurisdiction and	the Judges orders are void, of no legal force	ce affect.

Judge violated CANON 1 (A Judge shall uphold and promote the independence, integrity, and impartiality of the judiciary, and shall avoid Impropriety and the appearance of impropriety.) The Judge in this case has not as of this date looked at all the evidence submitted from the Respondent the Judge only asked for how much time he needed for the hearing and not the Respondent. The Judge never requested the information about the Respondents witnesses or any of the evidence that the Respondent submitted into evidence for the purpose of burden of proof for this case. The Judge was told that the Respondent's wife was a convicted sex offender and based her rulings as such. The Judge failed to look at all factors in this case and made a Biased judgement based on this information. The Judge in this case put sanctions on in retaliation due to the information from the Petitioner's attorneys, when in fact the information was false and the Judge did not follow Arizona Rules of Court. Judge said in open court as well as her ruling that was convicted of having sex with a year-old female, this is incorrect. The case that Judge is referring to is for a that was convicted of Attempted Sexual Conduct of a Minor case number Furthermore, this is a breach of confidentiality, due to the use of the same information that and Judge were removed for.

also violated CANON 2 (A Judge shall perform the duties of Judicial office impartially, Judge competently, and diligently.) The Judge in this case has not as of this date looked at all the evidence that was submitted from the Respondent that was asked of the Judge several time before the hearing to include the day of the hearing where the Judge said " " Had Judge done what was asked of her, she would have seen the pattern of this case, and that should not have been brought into this case at all. The allegations that the Respondent is alleging has been happening before the Respondent got together with did not come into the Respondents life until of and in of the minor had said to the Respondent and other witnesses that his father abused him in of while on vacation with his grandparents in and visiting his father. had the case at the time and advised the Respondent to contact the police in this matter, which Judge the Respondent had done, which led to the Forensic Interview that was conducted on of . Judge advised the Respondent in of to have the Petitioner served so that the court could get to the bottom of this. In ofwas contacted by the Respondent via text messaging to please give the Respondent his mailing address so the Respondent could send him letters for court, (text messaging screenshots are entered into evidence). In one of the text messages the Petitioner said " ." The Respondent had to hire a process sever in to find the

Petitioner	to have him served th	e court paperwork	. The Respondent asked th	nat her fees be	
reimbursed and any money that was used to get the information for this case. In the beginning of					
	titioner on as his client in	this case.	immediately wanted	to attack the	
		fending the accusa	tions of his client causing	Acute Emotional	
Distress to the minor			the GLA for the minor and		
			the Respondent cont		
			formation needed from the		
	t about minutes with	the minor and ther	had the minor leave the r	oom and attack the	
Respondent's wife	by using info	ormation	had gotten from	. The hearing	
in of		Judge dec		character instead of	
	dence that was submitted			om the proceedings	
			entially while on this case		
			had gotten in trou		
		ncy motion for cha	inge of Judge was filed. Ju	idge also	
imposed sanctions on	-,		nt's wife needed to be supe		
		gations against	of hurting or b	eing a danger to the	
minor in this case. Ju			nt is in contempt of court f		
Petitioners attorney fees. When in fact the Respondent put in an Emergency Motion For Clarification back in					
	denied after days had			, but still did not	
			espondents were not, and a		
			der, credit card etc Judge		
to file the contempt of court without having the information that was just mentioned and					
	etaliation for the Respon	dent calling the	on	weeks	
before this.					
Judge a	lso violated CANON 3 (A Judge shall cond	luct the Judges personal a	n extra Judicial	
activities to minimize the risk of conflict with the obligations of Judicial office.) The Judge in this case only					
			g and did not offer the sar		
Respondent. The Judg		to attack	in this case due to the		
had gotten	rom	paperwo	rk said that	was hiding her	
background as a	, a child predator and sex	offender. Judge	heard the term Sex C	Offender and did not	
want to hear anything	more and even put into t	he court paperworl	k that had be	en convicted for	

saying that "l For one was not around when the allegations came to light to include when had the . As of date the Petitioner has not paid for anything except for the child support that is case back in garnished, even though the Petitioner said in open court that he would pay the counselor fees and has not.

and has a

year-old girl. This allegation is unfounded as well as untrue. The case that is being

also had a Biased opinion

also went as far as

years old, has no repeat pattern and is under a different name of

These are the reasons for me filing my grievance with you today.

and convicted of Attempted Sexual Conduct with a minor. Judge

Thank you for your time,

having sex with a

referred to is more than

due to the fact that the Respondent is a

Petitioner

IN THE

OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF

In re the Matter of:)	
)	Case No:
Petitioner,)	
And)	MOTION TO VACATE AND SEND TO A
)	HIGHER COURT
Respondent)	Assigned to the:
Str. Company of the street of		Honorable

Comes now the Respondent in Pro Per Pro Se to give exact reason for this motion. The Respondent is asking this court to grant this motion for the following reasons.

In accordance with Arizona Rules of Family court the Respondent is asking this court to Vacate the following court date of due to the Violations of CANON 1, CANON 2, and CANON 3 and specified herein. Case Law also states that when a Judge acts as a trespasser of the law, when a Judge does not follow the law, he or she then loses subject matter jurisdiction and the Judges orders are void, of no legal force affect

Judge violated CANON 1 (A Judge shall uphold and promote the independence, integrity, and impartiality of the judiciary, and shall avoid Impropriety and the appearance of impropriety.) The Judge in this case has not as of this date looked at all the evidence submitted from the Respondent the Judge only asked for how much time he needed for the hearing and not the Respondent. The Judge never requested the information about the Respondents witnesses or any of the evidence that the Respondent submitted into evidence for the purpose of burden of proof for this case. The Judge was told that the Respondent's wife was a convicted sex offender and based her rulings as such. The Judge failed to look at all factors in this case and made a Biased judgement based on this information. The Judge in this case put sanctions on in retaliation due to the information from the

Petitioner's attorneys, when in fact the information was false and the Judge did not follow Arizona Rules of Court. Judge said in open court as well as her ruling that was convicted of having sex with a year-old female, this is incorrect. The case that Judge is referring to is for a that was convicted of Attempted Sexual Conduct of a Minor case number . Furthermore, this is a breach of confidentiality, due to the use of the same information that and Judge is also saying that the were removed for. Judge Respondent is in contempt of court for not paying the Petitioners attorney fees. When in fact the Respondent put in an Emergency Motion For Clarification back in of and was denied after days had passed. Judge approved but still did not clarify as to why the Petitioner was granted those fees and the Respondents were not, and as to where and in what form of payment was to be sent, such as a check, money order, credit card etc... Judge allowed to file the contempt of court without having the information that was just mentioned and did this in retaliation for the Respondent calling the on weeks before this.

Judge also violated CANON 2 (A Judge shall perform the duties of Judicial office impartially, competently, and diligently.) The Judge in this case has not as of this date looked at all the evidence that was submitted from the Respondent that was asked of the Judge several time before the hearing to include the day of the hearing where the Judge said "

" Had Judge done what was asked of her, she would have seen the pattern of this case, and that should not have been brought into this case at all. The allegations that the Respondent is alleging has been happening before the Respondent got together with did not come into the Respondents life until of the minor had of and in said to the Respondent and other witnesses that his father abused him in of while on vacation with his grandparents in and visiting his father. Judge had the case at the time and advised the Respondent to contact the police in this matter, which the Respondent had done, which led to the Forensic Interview that was conducted on of Judge advised the Respondent in of to have the Petitioner served so that the court could get to the bottom of this. In of was contacted by the Respondent via text messaging to please give the Respondent his mailing address so the Respondent could send him letters for court, (text messaging screenshots are entered into evidence). In one of the text messages the Petitioner said " "The Respondent had to hire a process sever in to find the Petitioner to have him served the court paperwork. The Respondent asked that her fees be reimbursed and any money that was used to get the information for this case. In the beginning of took the Petitioner on as his client in this case. immediately wanted to attack the Respondent's wife instead of defending the accusations of his client causing Acute Emotional Distress to the minor. came into this case in as the GLA for the minor and did not contact the Respondent in this case. Two days before the hearing in of the Respondent contacted the GLA to find out when he wanted to see the minor, to get the information needed from the minor in this case. only spent about minutes with the minor and then had the minor leave the room and attack the Respondent's wife

by using information had gotten from The hearing in of and Judge decided to attack character instead of looking at factual evidence that was submitted into the court. was removed from the proceedings due to the errors made in his paperwork and the breach of confidentially while on this case in this case. decided to remove himself after the allegations that had gotten in trouble for. then removed herself after an emergency motion for change of Judge was filed. Judge Judge also imposed sanctions on by stating that the Respondent's wife needed to be supervised while around the minor child. At no time were there any allegations against of hurting or being a danger to the minor in this case.

also violated CANON 3 (A Judge shall conduct the Judges personal an extra

Judicial activities to minimize the risk of conflict with the obligations of Judicial office.) The Judge in this case only asked for how much time he needed for this hearing and did not offer the same to the Respondent. The Judge allowed to attack in this case due to the information had gotten from paperwork said that was hiding her background as a , a child predator and sex offender. Judge term Sex Offender and did not want to hear anything more and even put into the court paperwork that had been convicted for having sex with a year old girl. This allegation is unfounded as well as untrue. The case that is being referred to is more than years old, has no repeat pattern and is under a different name of and convicted of Attempted Sexual Conduct with a minor. Judge also had a Biased opinion due to the fact that the Respondent is a and has a wife. also went as far as saying that "

"For one was not around when the allegations came to light to include when had the case back in

As of date the Petitioner has not paid for anything except for the child support that is garnished, even though the Petitioner said in open court that he would pay the counselor fees and has not, the bills for this were sent to office and to the Petitioners address from the counselor.

In closing your honor, the Respondent is asking that this case be transferred to a higher court where the violations will not continue. The minor in this case has not had the chance of being heard without the Bias from the judges, nor the attorneys on this case. At no time did prove that the Petitioner did not cause Acute Emotional Distress to the minor child. The sanctions that were placed on should be dismissed due to the violations from Judge and Judge as they are unfounded. A grievance is being filed as well due to the continued violations from this court. Case Law also states that when a Judge acts as a trespasser of the law, when a Judge does not follow the law, he or she then loses subject matter jurisdiction and the Judges orders are void, of no legal force affect especially violations of CANON 1, CANON 2 and CANON 3. Copies of the forgoing are also going to be included in the Grievance as well as the complaint to the office. and the

THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.

FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.