

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 23-173

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

September 29, 2023

The complainant alleged a superior court judge pro tem set a bond too low in a criminal case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Barbara Brown did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on September 29, 2023.

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**2023-173**

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name:  Judge's Name:

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

On \_\_\_\_\_, a \_\_\_\_\_ police officer responded to a domestic situation near the intersection of \_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_\_ Suspect \_\_\_\_\_ brutally beat said officer unconscious. beat him so badly that other responding officers didn't recognize him. \_\_\_\_\_ appeared before \_\_\_\_\_ for his arraignment, bond was set at \_\_\_\_\_ - meaning \_\_\_\_\_ only had to post \_\_\_\_\_ and he walked out. I do believe attempted murder, and that's what this attack was, on a police officer requires hold without bond or at least a couple million dollars bond. I do not believe Judge \_\_\_\_\_ took this case seriously and am requesting he not be approved for another year on the bench. It is open season on our police officers as it is, and for a judge to have so little regard for our men and women in blue is a gross miscarriage of so called justice.