

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 23-185

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

November 17, 2023

The Complainant alleged improper legal rulings by a superior court judge hearing a family case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on November 17, 2023.

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

23-185

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name: [ ] Judge's Name: [ ]

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Judge [ ] in a court recorded telephone conversation directed me to use a pension/retirement lawyer [ ] in [ ] to settle the QDRO agreement between my now ex-wife and myself.

I literally begged her not to assign that particular QDRO lawyer ( [ ] ) to my case as there was a close connection with [ ] and [ ] and I felt that [ ] would be BIASED in my case towards my ex-wife and against me. She stated " [ ] This was totally CONTRARY to the eventual outcome.

That is exactly how that turned out and Judge [ ] had mandated that I use [ ] as the QDRP lawyer in my case. I ended up filing an Appeal where they overturned the ruling that [ ] had drawn up in the QDRO and that [ ] was the primary reason for the biased outcome in handling my case.

The Judge would never agree to meet with the Lawyer I ended up hiring AFTER the ruling and was extremely rude and unprofessional when she spoke with me and my QDRO lawyer via numerous recorded telephone conversations.