State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 23-188
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

November 9, 2023

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge did not afford him equal time to present evidence in a family law case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Colleen E. Concannon did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on November 9, 2023.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2023-188

COMPLAINT	AGAINST A JUD	GE
Name:	Judge's Name:	
Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of words what you believe the judge did that cons names, dates, times, and places that will hel pages may be attached along with copies (not original of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint	stitutes judicial misco p the commission u inals) of relevant cour	onduct. Be specific and list all of the understand your concerns. Additiona
-There was unfair time given between the petitio -There was dissociative inaccuracies in the temp Respondent for asserting a lack of transparency child, while what I clearly stated was in regards t from the PetitionerThere was generalizations made as per my the Respondent, that were taken absurdly out of cor which denotes immaturity as well as a lac -Considering an Order of Protection and it's dyna of sound mind, or overt emotionality, as well as r form to fill out, but did not stop and allow me to v this case. I felt there was an unfair bias and dete is considering such form and possible conditions -I asked the judge if I may read my statement, in specific way, to which she did not respond, leaving	porary orders issued, of the Petitioner regards to the entirety of care. Petitioner's statement ext such as the Petick of respect from the amics in regards to be not in a child's nor he write down the name errence away from press of a protective order regards to her asking to the petition of the protective order regards to her asking to the petition of the petiti	arding her medical insurance for our as well as the the facilitation of care as well as the family as Respondent in general. The issued by the Petitioner when not a result be best interests, told me quickly of a nor learn of it's nature in relation to oviding insight into what due process the group opinion about said case in
when I planned to read statements. -the hearing seemed to not follow the instructed hearing, without warning, nor time to adjust, to wo fithe hearing. -very little accommodation was given to the resp-very brief question and discernment was made homelessness (years) to which I was ordered the Petitioner whose income is /year-no 'clear' answer when asked the judge if she re-	what seemed like a law condent, who was self as to the nature of m to pay , as an ur arly.	ck of structure throughout the course f-representing
All considerations are in regards to the immediat primary domestic caregiver throughout the major reasons pertaining to an order of protection relatinglude dependent.	rity of his lifetime for I	more than months for unrelated