State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 23-191

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

September 8, 2023

The complainant alleged a judicial officer violated public records requirements.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Roger D. Barton, Michael J. Brown, and Joseph C. Kreamer did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on September 8, 2023.

From: Sent: To: Commission on Judicial Conduct Cc: Subject: complaint against and

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

No wonder you all thought you were going to get away with it: police falsifying search warrants; tampering with, hiding, and bribing exculpatory witness on and text messages; over the top misconduct; drinking; cynicism; strip club influence peddling. In short, manufacturing and engineering

guilt and trying force me to submit by stealing my license. No wonder you all thought you would get away with it because God only knows how many times you all have done it before. And God only knows how many young men have wasted their lives away in prison because of it.

You all thought you would get away with it because the order and Court decision were going to be top secret. No one would know because the decision and order are no where to be found on either the website or Court website. And obvioulsy I would so happy that my public "shame" was not anywhere online to be found I would not raise any fuss. That way (in theory) no reporter, or legislature, or random member of the public, or agent would know I did not get a hearing, like every other single lawyer has in 100 years, even though that hearing is required by the Court, Court rules, the own website all saying I would get a **PUBLIC** hearing on the merits to be proven by clear and convincing evidence and a decision by panel members. himself admitted I got none of that right to face just a couple weeks ago. And neither he nor chief bar counsel raised an eyebrow when Judge strip club penchant during work hours was mentioned. Why is he still a judge?

Anyway, this complaint is againstandfor hiding thedecision andto cover up public misconduct. Thedecision must be published online andsame with theorder. I have all the screen shots and emails. I was entitled to apublic hearing on the merits to be proven with live witnesses, and my right to cross examine,

by clear and convincing evidence. I was entitled to a panel decision. They stole that from me no different from a couple of street punks with a gun to my head. The public has a right to know all that, and they will.

For your own sake, you all ought to consider the cost-benefit analysis of continuing the cover up by risking your own liberty and reputation in defense of shady bureaucrats. Murphy's law is undefeated. Judge made sure of that.

From:Sent:To: Commission on Judicial ConductSubject: Re: complaint againstand

	mail originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless yo nder and know the content is safe.
orrect	and thx
On	, at , Commission on Judicial Conduct wrote:
Good m	orning :
complai , who you that juc Arizona 1501 W	clarify who your complaint is against. We understand you are ining against . Your reference to " " is unclear Do you mean , the If this is incorrect. please advise. We also do not know u may be referring to with " ." Please clarify the full name of dicial officer. Thank you. A Commission on Judicial Conduct 7. Washington, Suite 229 x, AZ 85007 2-3200
Cc:	mission on Judicial Conduct complaint against and

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

No wonder you all thought you were going to get away with it: police falsifying search warrants; tampering with, hiding, and bribing exculpatory witness and text messages; over the top on misconduct; drinking; strip club influence cynicism; peddling. In short, manufacturing and engineering guilt and trying force me to submit by stealing my license. No wonder you all thought you would get away with it because God only knows how many times you all have done it before. And God only knows how many young men have wasted their lives away in prison because of it.

You all thought you would get away with it because the order and Court decision were going to be top secret. No one would know because the decision and order are no where to be found on either the website or

Court website. And obvioulsy I would so happy that my public "shame" was not anywhere online to be found I would not raise any fuss. That way (in theory) no reporter, or legislature, or random member of the public, or agent would know I did not get a hearing, like every other single lawyer has in years, even though that hearing is required by the Court, Court own website all saying I would get a *PUBLIC* hearing on the merits rules, the to be proven by clear and convincing evidence and a decision by panel himself admitted I got none of that right to members. face just a couple weeks ago. And neither he nor chief bar counsel raised an eyebrow when Judge strip club penchant during work hours was mentioned. Why is he still a judge?

Anyway, this complaint is against and for hiding the decision and to cover up public misconduct. The decision must be published online and same with the order. I have all the screen shots and emails. I was entitled to a public hearing on the merits to be proven with live witnesses, and my right to cross examine, by clear and convincing evidence. I was entitled to a panel decision. They stole that from me no different from a couple of street punks with a gun to my head. The public has a right to know all that, and they will.

For your own sake, you all ought to consider the cost-benefit analysis of continuing the cover up by risking your own liberty and reputation in defense of shady bureaucrats. Murphy's law is undefeated. Judge made sure of that.

From: Sent:

To: Commission on Judicial Conduct**Subject:** Re: complaint againstand

	mail originated from Ider and know the co	n outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless y ontent is safe.
	or	I am not sure but any reference to " " is thx
On	, at 🛛	AM, Commission on Judicial Conduct wrote:
Good m	orning	:
complai who you that jud Arizona 1501 W	ning against ' is unclear. Do If i a may be referri licial officer. Th Commission of Washington, S x, AZ 85007	this is incorrect please advise. We also do not know ing to with "…" Please clarify the full name of nank you. n Judicial Conduct
From: Sent:		
To: Com	mission on Judicia	al Conduct
Cc:		
Subject:		

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.

FOR ACCESS TO THE REMAINDER OF THE COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER, PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST IN WRITING TO THE COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT AND REFERENCE THE COMMISSION CASE NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.