State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 23-194
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

September 1, 2023

The complainant alleged improper legal rulings by an appellate court judge considering a criminal case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Roger D. Barton and Michael J. Brown did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on September 1, 2023.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2023-194

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	Judge's Name:
words what you believe the judge did to names, dates, times, and places that wi	paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the ll help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of applaint for your records.
<u>On</u> <u>-</u>	Judges
Land La Ville La Ville	filed a "boilerplate", blanket ruling of relief"-no explanation or reason(s) given. I filed
granted review but denied	1 C "Constant of tensories of the I have
a reconsideration and reques	I for "Reasoned decision for the record." However,
	the reconsidiration and reasoning. This shows a
	y "boiler plate" rilings with no reasoning. At least
Judge 1 court) sto	ated outright he used his "power of discretion" to
dany relief even after acknow	uledging the States Failures (Personal Bias).
As the law explains my cas	e shows a consistant violation of our adversarial
System of judicial review-viola	ating our laws and Constitutions vested rights. This
13 why I file my complaints an	I not that I had unfavorable rulings. So that other
petitioners have adequate and:	speedy hearings before a fair judiciary.
Like myself there are other	innocent prisoners who were convicted unconstitu-
trongly and worse had inadeg	junte, biased, appellate review. In some cases the
tederal courts have overtuined	cased that should have been taken care in the
states courts - Like	who spent yes in prison. Why, because our
	any misconduct and judges allow this to continue.
	be the gatekeepers-but in Arizona I fear that our
gatekeepers are too biased too	wards the State. Maybe its because most judges
are ex-prosecutors (as recor	ds suggest). And, this commission is tasked to
oversee our gate keepers. Thu	s. I ask for a thorough review. Not only for my
sake but to preserve our as	direction way of justice. Otherwise why even
ask the State to respond it	judges will disrequired or override the states
failures. If that's the case-ar	nd my appeals show it to be true-then just have an
outvight inquisitional one or	nome at all. This will save time and money.
	consideration. (All rulings submitted case
No.	

썇