State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 23-196

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

September 1, 2023

The complainant alleged improper legal rulings by an appellate court judge considering a criminal case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Roger D. Barton and Michael J. Brown did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on September 1, 2023.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2023-196

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: ______ Judge's Name: Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Un Judge boilerpla 1 and explanation Nevi ar easoned de denied the reconsid reasoning. This ITEL previously iration and sho KSOM "boiler ale tern and practice" ridiñas no reasoning he used 4 DOWNER O Bore JUNA nowledging the Sta Fait ures (Personal dany relief even shows a cons VIDTA tont Constitutions vested rial Violatina our laws an D System and not that that other complaints vate and indiciary. speedy hearings petitioners convicted unconstitu-Touself these are other innocent prisoners who were 1 bigsed appellate In some cases the tionally inadeq vate Cased H overtuined Federal courts ha have states courts 21.0 who spen urs in prison. Wh from and judges prosecutors are immune a110w are supposed to be the ackekeepers - but in Arizona 100 Our Judges the state. ards Maubeits suggest) comm 0.2 for Not only thorough review. Keepers. Thus T ask OVErsel Sake watice OUY adversaria 01 disregard Will or ov judaes -and my appeals show it to be rean or nome at all. This money Sare ING time time consideration. (All rulings submitted and case thank you your NO. 섚