State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 23-203

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

October 24, 2023

The Complainant alleged improper legal rulings by a superior court judge hearing a civil dispute.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Barbara Brown, Delia R. Neal, and Christopher P. Staring, did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on October 24, 2023.

		COMP				
		23-203				
1						
2						
3						
4						
5						
6	COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT					
7	STATE OF ARIZONA					
8	,	COMPLAINT				
9	Plaintiff,					
10 11	VS.	RE: , (Clerk #) and Associated Cases				
12						
13	Defendant	FAILURE TO APPLY LAWS AND				
14		PROTECTIVE ORDERS FAIRLY AND DILIGENTLY AND VICTIM AND				
15		WITNESS INTIMIDATION				
16						
17						
18	JURISDIC	CTION				
19	Under Arizona law, judges, county attorneys, and law enforcement officials are required					
20	to apply protective laws and court orders impartially and diligently. Judges have a legal duty to					
21	apply and ensure that valid protective orders are enforced, while law enforcement officers have					
22 23	an obligation to enforce these orders and other laws impartially and diligently. Public Officials					
24	have a shared responsibility to adhere to ethical standards and the law while carrying out their					
25	respective roles in the legal system.					
26	Judge , and other	Judges and Attorneys had				
27	probable cause to believe laws and protective or	lers were being violated and people were being				
28	A.R.S. 13-3602 - 1					
	A.N.S. 13-3002 - 1					

damaged by failures and refusal by the Office to enforce valid protective orders issued from the Court for and their and grandchildren.

Judge has not applied or enforced protective orders fairly or diligently in violation of A.R.S. 13-3602. His multiple failures or refusals to apply and enforce protective orders fairly and diligently resulted in the emotional and physical injury of people under valid protective orders. This complaint involved complaint retaliation and witness intimidation.

INTRODUCTION

12	and his family have been residents of		,	, Arizona for over			
13	years. In	of th	ne fai	mily fell victim t	o a "		
14	" during wh	at was bei	ng called a	real estate bubble	e in	, Arizona.	. This
15	swindle involved harassment, intimidation, threats and using strong arm tactics to gain access or						
16							
17	acquire property non consensually.						
18	The police would not arrest, and prosecutors would not charge the attorney/land						
19	developer that assau	ılted	wife	in the	drivewa	y. The	family was
20	forced into Judge		and		legal spide	r web in	
21	Court). This	turned into	a -year multimi	llion-dollar lar	nd swindle th	at put
22 23	plaintiffs and some	defendant	s into bankr	uptcy. The	family was f	inancially cri	ippled and
24	emotionally damage	ed for year	S.				
25		,	v	vas Judge	"	" ruling in	. He
26	signed the Final Ru	ling on	. '	This was almost	a year after the	e jury had co	me back
27		-			-		
28	A.R.S. 13-3602 - 2						

1	with a verdict and	Governor	had a	ppointed him a	s the first		
2	Judge of the Court on						
3	Judge	Judge had assigned Judge		ge	to the case before he left		
4	the bench in	. Howeve	er, Judge	was the pros	ecutor that refused to	o charge	
5 6	attorney	with	assaulting	in t	he driveway o	on	
7			6				
8		and Juc	lge	appeare	d to be associates and	d had been	
9	inducted into the		on the same				
10		alad Indoa		-	4	h of our	
11		asked Judge	and		to recuse themselves	s before	
12	Judge	signed the	Final Ju	dgement on	. Judge	was	
13	furious and retaliated in his ruling.						
14	years later, the same old players show up with the same old game. and						
15	the family have had many well documented experiences with these police,					police,	
16	prosecutors, and judges over the past years. has closely watched the Arizona courts and						
17	retained a great deal of documented evidence to support his claims of aggravated harassment,						
18 19							
20	discrimination, and other unethical and scandalous behavior.						
20	The damage to and his family from these failures and refusals to apply ethics, obey						
22	and enforce rules and laws fairly and diligently are ongoing.						
23							
24			BACKO	GROUND			
25		, a man nam	ned	(), was seen tre	espassing,	
26	tampering with sur	vev stakes and h	oundary ma	rkers in the	driveway in		
27	Arizona. and	-		to the	Offi	, ,	
28		rej	ported		UIII	ce ().	
	A.R.S. 13-3602 - 3						

1	Deputy responded, investigated, and reported that did not own any property				
2	in , Arizona and he had been warned by property owners and his real estate agent				
3	about trespassing before . presented legal arguments to based on a				
4	misrepresentation of a Final Ruling signed by Judge on .				
5	Deputy could have simply issued a citation for trespassing and disturbing				
6 7	the peace. Deputy is well known to from prior trespass litigation against				
, 8					
9	in . Officials.				
10	After Deputy conversation with , he seemed concerned. He advised				
11	and to set up security cameras, get protection orders from the court, and report any				
12	violations of law to . (IR #)				
13	, returned to the property and created an intimidating				
14	altercation with and and their neighbors, , and . He				
15					
16	threatened that he had done his due diligence as if he were an attorney and threatened , with violence and lawsuits if he did not get what he wanted. (video)				
17					
18	called and Deputy responded. offered a copy of				
19	a Final Judgement signed by Judge and a map of the property owner's				
20	easements. Deputy refused to accept the evidence and advised and to				
21	go to court to settle the matter. (IR #)				
22					
23	This happened to the family and neighbors once before in . An attorney/land				
24	developer, named , and others, used similar harassment, threats, and				
25	violence, that forced the family into with and Judge				
26	in of . This was an almost identical case with Judge				
27	of (from to).				
28	A.R.S. 13-3602 - 4				

1	fraudulent legal arguments and threats of financial ruin and physical aggressio				
2	on , created serious fear, and stress. demands and threats caused heavy				
3	chest pain and heart damage that led to extreme surgery for on . (Video and				
4	medical files)				
5					
6	failure to enforce the law on , also forced and to get				
7	Protection Orders from , install and monitor security cameras, and pay to consult attorneys				
8	, Judge issued Protection Orders against				
9 10	for , , and their minor grandchildren. and				
11)				
12	did not stop his misrepresentations and threats, nor did he bother to request a				
13	hearing contesting the injunction orders. He continually insulted and insinuated he had friends				
14	and relatives that were Officials and bragged he could have arrested or				
15	financially ruined in at will. and continued reporting the threats and blatant				
16	violations of protective orders, per instruction.				
17					
18	, threatened and she called , and Deputy				
19	responded. deputies stated they were ordered to no longer respond to calls for assistance				
20	after . provided the proof that was giving false information				
21	and legal advice to and misrepresenting Judge Final Judgement.				
22 23	(Video-14 minutes)				
23	On , and came to the Clerk's Office to				
25	report violations of protection orders and a failure to enforce those orders by against				
26					
27	that were issued on . Judge was assigned to hear				
28					
	A.R.S. 13-3602 - 5				

THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.

FOR ACCESS TO THE REMAINDER OF THE COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER, PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST IN WRITING TO THE COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT AND REFERENCE THE COMMISSION CASE NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.