

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 23-206

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

September 29, 2023

The complainant alleged an improper legal ruling by a justice of the peace hearing a criminal case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Barbara Brown did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on September 29, 2023.

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

2023-206

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name:  Judge's Name:

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

On \_\_\_\_\_ recieved certified mail of my conditional acceptance of charges to respond within \_\_\_\_\_ days with discovery evidence of a valid claim subject matter jurisdiction and proof I was the fictional entity \_\_\_\_\_ Trust Estate which is perjury of a misrepresentation of me, a living man special appearance. Additionallv evidence with a witness to testify that the man is surety for Trust Estate. \_\_\_\_\_ never gave this court his personal jurisdiction.

Said "Courts Not of Record" are "nisi prius courts" that violate unalienable right of due process protected by the 5th Amendment, the plaintiff's unalienable right to trial by an untainted common law jury protected by the 7th Amendment. Kansas Pac. Rv Co. v. Dunmever 19 KAS 542  
Under the 1951 Self Appointment Act \_\_\_\_\_ appoints as Trustees \_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_\_ who have initiated administering the \_\_\_\_\_ Trust Estate, as accepting being Trustees and Co-Trustees

My unanswered request required FOIA has been ignored as the law requires.  
FOIA Improvement Act of 2016 (Public Law No. 114-185)  
(2) Each agency, in accordance with published rules, shall make available for public inspection and copying for public inspection in an electronic format.

The conditional-Acceptance on the failure of the court to respond after 14 days of a lawful claim motion for dismissal for failure to state a claim for which relief can be granted MUST be lawfully applied, since there was no victim with a claim for \_\_\_\_\_ to continue with unlawful charges.

On \_\_\_\_\_ I received post marked \_\_\_\_\_ mail denying Motion for Dismissal in violation of Rule 41 - Dismissal of Actions, Ariz. R. Civ. P. 41

(b) Involuntary Dismissal; Effect. If the plaintiff fails to prosecute or to comply with these rules or a court order, a defendant may move to dismiss the action or any claim against it. Unless the dismissal order states otherwise, a dismissal under this Rule 41(b) and any dismissal not under this rule-except one for lack of jurisdiction, improper venue, or failure to join a party under Rule 19 -operates as an adjudication on the merits.

There is not a prosecutable claim.  
Therefore, this is malicious prosecution since this court is incorporated dba with \_\_\_\_\_ Department who trespassed me as known as a Traveler infringed upon my human right to use the public road to move, in violation of USC title 18 section 241 and 242 since there were \_\_\_\_\_ men \_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_\_ and now accessory after the fact, \_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_\_ co-conspirators.

The Resisting Arrest Charge converted a human right into a crime is unlawful, Miller v. United States, 357 U.S. 301 (1958), Miranda vs. Arizona, 384 US 436, 491 Kent v. Dulles :: 357 U.S. 116 (1958),

stole and damaged my private property, assualtd and held as hostage from a Federal U.S Post Office I was doing business with, in violation of USC title 18 section . Arizona State legislature only allows law enforcement to regulate and enforce commercial use of the roads for profit  
Trespassing on a Restricted Building | 18 U.S.C. § 1752

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name:  Judge's Name:

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

This case charges were remanded to the Court by Court  
which was remanded back after Judge and failed to show there was  
subject matter and personum jurisdiction has been resubstantiated in Court where  
also faile to show there was a claim for which relief could be granted shows there is no  
case here are using this case for profit  
18 U.S.C. 1952

I and are using malicious prosecution since they work  
with the same corporation. Al had requested and their Mandatory 1938  
corporation Foreign Registration Act to be filed with the Department of Justice. failed to answer. I have  
filed a suppression of all and any evidence with the Clerk of the Court on on these  
charges, "Response to No Reply" as silence is taken for acceptance. Yet this is also ignored with  
using REDACTED evidence which is inadmissible evidence IGNORING TRUTH!

FALSE STATEMENTS, CONCEALMENT—18 U.S.C. § 1001