

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 23-210

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

February 20, 2024

The Complainant alleged biased and improper legal rulings by a superior court judge hearing a family case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Michael J. Brown did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on February 20, 2024.

2023-210

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: []

Judge's Name: []

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Despite the unsatisfactory result of our fathers case which I know is not going to be addressed by the commission. I feel a duty to file an official complaint regarding the cultural bias given in trial and in judgment.

I was alarmed when I reviewed the judgement and trial that showed s a cultural bias against my father and I want it brought to the commissions attention.

Document determined that (descent from) has medical needs and a condition needing continual treatment. Document also reflects that (descent from) has advanced and needs care currently living with his sister.

line 41-47 of the document infers that Judge did not accept the letters from nuerologist, reciepts from physical therapists, occupational therapists, and care specialists. In trial record he voices his thought that it should be cheaper in . This is a uninformed judgement without merit. Also note he ignored the bank statements provided showing the exchange rates used (note the has been weakening against the). Over the past months has averaged month in out of pocket medical expenses. Note that because of extensions this has resulted in higher/lower, but court documtents state to use yearly averages. In addition to showing a bias on documentation coming from note that in the trial perjurs herself in the court without consequences and her testimony is considered with greater merit. I would assert that there is a need to evaluate bias that this judge may have.

In the request to Alter or Amend he does not allow for Taxes to be entered, nor does he even address the care center costs in that is considering instead of in home care. The cost for if he used the care center in of month.

In the court response the judge states that the taxes could have been provided at Trial. (Accountant wasnt finished yet). But it is again very strange that he has given multiple extensions since papers were filed in . Many of which were claimed for her medical needs, documentation thereof was never filed. But for no extension, no quarter was given; his documents werent even mentioned in the the request to alter. The same documents he is sending to the IRS for expenses were dismissed. He has advanced disease, cannot walk, needs help. He cannot cook, clean or care for himself. But he is and was not given any of the same considerations.

I ask the commission tor review this record. Listen to the trial, review the records, and evaluate the cultural bias I am citing. He has shown a preference for an individual who purjured herself (again without consequences) and stated in judgement documentation and trial recording that he doubted documents coming from