

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 23-217

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

October 13, 2023

The Complainant alleged biased and improper legal rulings by a superior court judge hearing a criminal case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Barbara Brown, Colleen E. Concannon, and Joseph C. Kreamer did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on October 13, 2023.

2023-217

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Since the days of the Jim-Crow laws up until now it has been a proven psychological fact that the majority of officials try to hard to impress their constituents hoping to gain a "and acceptance in to their social groups even if that means breaking the law, abusing his discretion and playing a key role in the conviction of another person who is evidently innocent of his alleged crime. Judge has a deep seated favoritism for the state helping them bend the legal system to keep me incarcerated on weak

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____

Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

factitious cases, charges without merit, evidence, witnesses, and where the alleged victim has written Alpha David's stating that she lied and has been doing so for nearly an entire year now! (see Alpha David attached.)

In open court, the Judge has coached the state on how to win their case at trial advising prosecutor that

they should issue a warrant for the alleged victim in my domestic violence cases to be arrested in order to install fear in her so she would stick to the states narrative! He even mocked me and called me ignorant for wanting to go pro-per

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE (CONTINUANCE)

addressing the self representation legal remedy for effective counsel as " "

There are a number of civil rights -

Violations committed by the state in plain sight in Judge

Court-

room which lacks ethicalness and one of the biggest miscarriages of justice being allowed to go untreated and unchecked is the racial inequality of plea agreements and the malicious prosecution of vs.

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE (CONTINUANCE)

for instance, to substantiate my claim of racial discrimination and an unbalance in the legal system that the color of law is suppose to protect one from, I will compare two of the same almost identical cases of two separate defendants with equally checkered criminal histories (a defendant and a defendant).
Defendant

() who after cutting off his ankle
5

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE (CONTINUANCE)

monitor several times, fined, then reinstated back on probation was finally charged the third time when -

committing the act and absconding with 1. count of ankle monitor interference A class 4 felony,

1. count of failure to register as a sex offender - A class 4 felony, and

1. count of probation violation (lifetime felony probation)

then just after months of sitting in jail, his judge and the state productively

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**