State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 23-221
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

December 26, 2023

The Complainant alleged improper legal rulings and conflicts of interest by a superior court judge hearing a family case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on December 26, 2023.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2023-221

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:		Judge's Name:	
words v names, pages m	what you believe the judge did that constit dates, times, and places that will help hay be attached along with copies (not original aper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for	utes judicial miso the commission ls) of relevant cou	conduct. Be specific and list all of the understand your concerns. Additional
in dang The Ho UCCJE orders v abuse. Honora is monif carefull	er. The Honorable Judge does not norable Judge has demonstrated used in during proceedings. The Honorable Judge A conference with a Judge from another state which have taken away protection for Mother Mother and Child have rights as victims in the Judge does not afford parties of toring the Honorable Judge while sept. While consulting with attorneys, 4 out of 7 solely on the fact the case is assigned to Judge	follow Court rules inprofessional be conduct ite! The Honorabler and Child victim ne State of Arizor lue process and the is on probation attornevs I spok-	ns of domestic violence and child na which Judge ignores. The ramples on their civil rights. Whoever in should be watching her more

co	TA TT	777	 7/7	T 4 '	•

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY					

	COMPLAINT A	GAINST A JUDGE				
Name:		Judge's Name:				
Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additionally, and with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.						
				-		

1	I	
2		
3	In Pro Per	
4	IN	ГНЕ
5		
6		
7		
8	STATE OF	ARIZONA
9		
10		
11		No
12	Petitioner,	No:
13	vs.	
14		No:
15	THE HONORABLE , JUDGE OF THE	
16	COURT OF THE STATE	PETITION FOR SPECIAL ACTION
17	OF ARIZONA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF	WITH REQUEST FOR STAY
18		
19	Respondent Judge	
20	,	
21		
22	Real Party in Interest	
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		ON WITH REQUEST FOR STAY
		•
- 1	I .	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS
2	
3	TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
4	
5	INTRODUCTION
6	
7	JURISDICTIONAL STATEMENT
8	SURISDIC HONAL STATEMENT
9	STATEMENT OF FACTS
10	STATEMENT OF FACTS
11	
12	ISSUES REGARDING THE TEMPORARY ORDER2
13	
14	OTHER ISSUES PRESENTED3
15	
16	RELIEF REQUESTED
17	
18	
19 20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	PETITION FOR SPECIAL ACTION WITH REQUEST FOR STAY
	2

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

١	•	Ī)		
	d	4			

Cases

Antonsen v. Superior Court, 186 Ariz. 1, 4 (App. 1996)	6
DePasquale v. Super. Ct. In and For County of Maricopa, 181 Ariz. 333, 336-37 (App. 1995)	7
Edsall v. Superior Court, 143 Ariz. 240, 693 (1984)	29
Engstrom v. McCarthy, 243 Ariz. 469, ¶ 4 (App. 2018)	5
Hays vs. Gama 205 Ariz. 99. 102 , ¶ 18 (2003)	5
In re Lorona, 178 Ariz. 562. 567 (1994); In re Haddad, 128 Ariz. 490, 498 (1981)	19
State v. Salazar, 182 Ariz. 604, 608 (App. 1995)	19
Stephenson v. Nastro, 192 Ariz. 475, 479, ¶ 10 (App. 1998)	6
Villares v. Pineda, 217 Ariz. 623, 624–25, ¶ 10–11 (App. 2008)	6
White-Nathan v. Nathan, 181 Ariz. 112, 120 (App. 1994)	29
Other Authorities	
§ 25-403.03(B)	20
§ 25-403.03(F)	26
25-403	
25-403(A)	21
25-403.03(F)	26
A.R.S 25-403.03	26
A.R.S 25-403.03(B)	5
A.R.S. § 25-324	28, 29
A.R.S. § 25-403.03(I)	24
PETITION FOR SPECIAL ACTION WITH REQUEST FOR STAY	

THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.

FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.