# State of Arizona

### COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 23-229
Judge:	
Complainant:	

#### ORDER

November 9, 2023

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge pro tem made inappropriate comments in a family law settlement conference.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Colleen E. Concannon and Christopher P. Staring did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on November 9, 2023.

## CONFIDENTIAL

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

2023-229

# COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	Judge's Name:			
words what you believe the judge did that constit names, dates, times, and places that will help	e same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own utes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the the commission understand your concerns. Additional ls) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side r your records.			
0n	010			
Microsoft Teams Meetin	a we all login under			
instruction of	for our Settlement			
Conference ordered by t	tonorable .			
went over what w	as going to happen and			
that days objective. He	went on to say that			
my attorney (	) did not provide a reason he manted to			
Statement and for that	reason he wanted to			
Start with both o	nd I, while having			
and opp	osing counsel			
nang up until we called	I back. We agreed; at			
This point start	ed to speak on how			
he has read their statement and frankly				
did not see why I was still actively proving,				
the case as	' .			
He also went on to so	y that both and			
I have had"	attorneys on this case			
and if he was asked to be our attorney he would				
decline based off that being a sign that this is out of hand. After speaking his own opinion				
he asked my attorney to speak and rely what I was willing to do or not do and what I expected				
was willing to do or n	of do and what I expected			

CONFIDENTIAL	FOR OFFICE USE ONLY
Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229	
Phoenix, Arizona 85007	
,	
COMPLAINT AGAINS	T A JUDGE
nstructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same s	's Name:
rords what you believe the judge did that constitutes judge ames, dates, times, and places that will help the commissional be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your recon	ion understand your concerns. Additional pages t court documents. Please complete one side of
from all this. I couldn't he	ar my attorney at all
1 total 2 times I could v	it hear. While laughing
as the man of the carry and me no	+ able to hear lasked
if I could will in to heady	and was accurated and
told ha was calling the other	ur party and he would
After waiting he co	used back to say he had
told he was calling the other call back. After naiting he considered to and an and only	a again felt I was out
spoken to	"our child for a tew
of hand as only	
nours. 1 Stated	le 49's one being an
and I had to get seferate k	+ still took mins."
Emergency frokup brown and i	
nours. I stated " and I had to get seperate R Emergency Pick up order and I stated "	If the than went on TO
say I was just "	A - 1 - 4 MALES
	• ]
"He stated has	s suffered enough, I could
. He sialed	ifferent manner instead if

have went through a la Rule 48. He stated

" Istated 1 now you are Judging me as he is and then got an oop against me.

proceeded to chew Ice as if I bothered

think this is not fair on the one who withheld" "while I was speaking put in an order that was

him." After the hearing Impartial and stated he belived Ishovlá fau fees even though that was not anormal way to write up a settlement conference ending notes. Being that the parties ( & 1) as well as ( and ) were haver on the phone meeting all together except for the beginning before seperated us to speak about our side of the case. I feel he was not impartial and should have withdrawn knowing he could not be. He was very inappropriate with his comments to me about his own personal opinion of who he thought I was."

Thank you

page 4 continued.