

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 23-272

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

October 24, 2023

The Complainant alleged a lack of due diligence by a justice of the peace.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Barbara Brown and Christopher P. Staring did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on October 24, 2023.

From:
Sent:
To: Commission on Judicial Conduct
Subject: Cplaint

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Judge
on
placed a warrant out for the arrest for failure to appear at his court while never providing the
defendant a court date or any paperwork because this was a continuously and he provided
it to the office that they never provided it to so was not
able to go to court because nobody told him anything about any upcoming court dates now there's a
fictitious warrant that these charges was supposed to be dismissed but because attorneys don't
know how to talk to each other they didn't do their due diligence or their job correctly there is
cases pending with Court that needs to be dismissed with prejudice as both of
these cases in partial of a plead for the upstate case against was to be dismissed this is
nothing but and retaliation and and because they didn't do their due diligence or
their job



to me ▾

Yesterday



Good afternoon

I have attached the documents you requested for Municipal case [Redacted] and [Redacted]

I have also listened to the hearings to find out about the verbal appointment of attorney you spoke of.

On the hearing dated [Redacted] Judge [Redacted] asks if you would like an attorney appointed, (you must have nodded your head) and then proceeds to ask you the financial questions required to see if you qualify. He then states that [Redacted] is appointed to [Redacted]. He doesn't appoint [Redacted] to [Redacted] but doesn't state why. You ask about getting the complaint and police report for your case. Judge [Redacted] tells you that the prosecutor will forward that information to your attorney.

