State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 23-308
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

February 20, 2024

The Complainants alleged a justice of the peace made biased rulings and heard an ex parte motion to withdraw their attorney.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Michael J. Brown did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on February 20, 2024.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2023-308

	COMPLAINT A	AGAINST A JUDGE
Name:		Judge's Name:
words v names, pages m of the pa	what you believe the judge did that constit dates, times, and places that will help may be attached along with copies (not original aper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for	
Please	See 6 Pages Attatched hereto of the Openi	ning Complaint(s)
DATE:		
	&	t Justice of the Peace Judge

To: The Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct							
RE: Possible Crimi	nal Complaint Against	Justice of the Peace Judge					
FROM:	&						
and hereby file this formal complaint and ask that criminal charges be brought against Justice of the Peace for judicial harassment gross abuse of process, gross abuse of process, gross abuse of discretion gross abuse of bias and Violations of the State of Arizona Constitution Article 2 Section 2.1 Victims Right and Section 30 and the 1 st , 4 th , 5 th , 6 th , and 14 th , Amendments of the United States Constitution and Violation Under the Color of law and Depravation of Rights Pursuant to Title 18 U.S.C, Section 242.							
Deputy County the Plaintiffs	etion and showing complete , who is also and color of law pursuant to U	and undeniable bias to abusing his authority ar , in a	nd intentionally harassing manner consistent with 2, intentionally inflicting				
undeniable bias a	has been harassing ross judicial misconduct, gro nd partiality to e extreme harassment and V	oss abuse of process, g and the	in a manner that				
as a Victim to ha	tion and dismissed the case volve a forcible detainer grant or s removed by an order of pro	vithout taking into consi ed in his favor, against	the Defendant				
138.b. and The Ardid not reply to a and without wait abused his	the legal grounds of the Ari izona Rules of Civil Procedur	e Rule 59, that the Defe filed a motion for Ju to answer	ndant dgment on the Pleadings the motion Judge				

DATE:

against filed a frivolous small claims case # On fraudulently asking for items that she did not leave on asking for property. answered the complaint detailing that was On property that committing fraud and did not leave all the items she falsely claimed on did to file a reply to. the filed a Motion for Judgement on the Pleadings that On did not answer and admitted that she had received the pleading in open Court on also admitted that she did get all the things she left on and property and therefore there was no more issue to decide. This is a violation of the 1st, and 14th, Amendments of the United States Constitution Rights to be heard and Due Process Rights, Rights to a fair impartial trial, and Equal Protection under the Law, in violation of the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct. again grossly abused his discretion by illicitly dismissing On Judge Motion for Judgment on the pleadings that did not answer, falsely claiming that the issues in the pleading were unrelated to the issues of the complaint but were answer to the did not reply to admitted by default because leaving her items behind was due to the complaint and where the root cause of had been Court Ordered to vacate property for multiple Fact that counts of domestic Violence and violently assaulting did not that abused his discretion and denied Motion on the dispute but Judge pleadings that did not answer and therefore should have been granted in favor of . This is also considered judicial bias. of . in case # and # On had been filed a motion to withdraw as Counsel for and paid in full to represent intentionally , in Case # and Judge On from entering the Pre-Trial Conference where a hearing was being held, blocked had an opportunity to answer Presence and before without unlawfully allowed their Attorney's Motion to withdraw, Judge 1st, 4th, 5th, 6th, and 14th, withdraw from both cases, that seriously violated Amendment rights, the Right to be heard, the right to privacy, the Right to know the charges

against them that made their attorney withdraw the Right to effective assistance of counsel that

was fully paid for the right to due process and the right to equal protection under the law.

On	in Case #	and	Judg	ge intentionally			
blocked	from enter	ing the Pre-Trial	Conference where	another hearing was			
being held, that	being held, that were intentionally blocked from attending in an Ex-Parte						
Hearing held with	h Deputy County At	torney	, and with	out			
Presence, DCA	Made	e an Oral Motion	to advance case#	to trial,			
Judge	again grossly abuse	ed his authority,	abused his discretion	on and grossly abused			
the process, beca	use 1. Judge	intentionally	blocked	from attending			
the hearing, 2. Illi	citly removed	Attor	ney and left them w	ithout Representation,			
3. then held ano	ther hearing with D	CA	and 4. Grant	ed an oral Motion to			
advance case #	to tri	al filed in open	court by DCA	without			
giving the Defend	dants an opportunit	y to be heard on	the matter and gr	anted the State's Oral			
Motion to advan	ce the case to trial	and leaving	without the	right to be heard and			
without represen	tation that	had already pai	d for in full and cau	se to			
lose be	ecause now that	was unl	awfully allowed to	withdraw is			
refusing to give	back	their	thereby violating				
and	1 st , 4 th , 5 th , 6 th ,	and 14 th , Amend	dments Of the Unite	ed States Constitution			
rights.							
These are very serious violations of Constitutional Rights of the 1 st , 4 th , 5 th , 6 th , and 14 th , Amendments of the United Sates Constitution Rights to be heard, Right to Privacy, right to information, Right to be Represented by Legal Counsel that had already paid for, and right to Due Process Under the Law and the Right to Equal Protection under the law. Showing complete and undeniable bias to the State and should be considered violations of Title 18 U.S.C., Section 242 Depravation of Rights because none of there violations are erroneous, they are flat out intentional willful action that violated Constitutional Right.							
	until the of	giving and was a pleading is ma	until not received by	nal Trial Management to answer because it until , days is added to the , to file a response.			
On filed a Motion for Change of Judge for Cause in and everything thing should have stopped in all files that was occupying in cases, including and							
On grossly abused t	, in Case # :he processes and v	Judge violated the Aria	- 12000000000 1200000	used his discretion and ninal Procedure Rules			

10.1(c)(1) and illicitly ruled on two pending Motions, First the Motion to dismiss with prejudice that was filed on and the Second that was filed on , by the State and did not wait for response to the motion to Expedite Bench Trial Management Conference and violated Arizona Rules of Criminal Procedure rule 1.9(b) and Rule 10.1(c)(1), again intentionally abusing his discretion and abusing processes, thereby Violating 1st, 4th, 5th, 6th, and 14th, Amendment Right to be heard, Right to Privacy harassment, Right to be informed, Right to have a fair and impartial Trial, Right to due process of the law and Equal Protection under the law and these violations cannot be considered harmless but intentional acts of abuse and harassment.

There are way too many instances that have violated the processes, all of these cases as described here to be considered harmless error, there are without a doubt intentional acts that have seriously violated

Constitutional Rights in the 1st, 4th, 5th, 6th, and 14th, Amendment of the United States Constitution.

investigation into the undeniable abuse of discretion, process, and judicial harassment that Justice of Peace has engaged in, who is a licensed attorney and has no absolutely no excuse for his intentional acts of abuse and harassment against

In each and every case that is mentioned in this complaint Justice of the Peace continues to favor criminal behavior and Deputy County Attorney

by consistently denying motions and violating

Constitutional rights and due to the frequency cannot be considered judicial error but intentional acts of abuse of discretion that without a doubt reach to the level of violations of the Color of Law and Depravation of Rights, and and Constitutional Rights have been violated.

It is also important to point out that the Criminal Cases that have been maliciously brought against are a conspiracy to commit malicious prosecution because

were unlawfully arrested by the County Sheriff's Deputies and who were acting on false complaints filed by and they did not have probable cause to Arrest and all of the evidence against the County Sheriff's office proves that should have been arrested not and

are the victims.

It is also important to point out the fact that on and illicitly ordered Deputy Fields not to investigate complaints about the very Serious Crimes that committed against , such as but not limited making False complaints to Emergency Services falsely claiming

THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.

FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.