

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 23-313

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

January 12, 2024

The Complainant alleged improper legal rulings and failure to recuse by a justice of the peace hearing a civil traffic complaint.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Barbara Brown did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on January 12, 2024.

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**2023 - 313**

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name:  Judge's Name:

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Attached, please find PLETHORA OF DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE PROVING "UNEQUIVOCALLY" Due Process and Civil Rights VIOLATION(S) committed by Judge \_\_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_\_ Arizona's Court who is a former \_\_\_\_\_ County's "state" Attorney" attached Documentary EVIDENCE PROVE Judge \_\_\_\_\_ Due Process AND Civil Rights VIOLATION(S) of litigant \_\_\_\_\_

Complainant \_\_\_\_\_ -hereafter referred to as complainant- was issued an Arizona Civil Traffic Ticket and Complaint No. \_\_\_\_\_ on \_\_\_\_\_ by Arizona's state police officer \_\_\_\_\_ Badge \_\_\_\_\_ "attached" that was subsequently filed with \_\_\_\_\_ Court under Case No. \_\_\_\_\_

The aforementioned Traffic Complaint contained Immaterial and Impertinent information as officer \_\_\_\_\_ entered complainant \_\_\_\_\_ Origin/Race as Black instead of White. A previous similar Traffic Complaint No. \_\_\_\_\_ was issued by state police officer \_\_\_\_\_ No. \_\_\_\_\_ on \_\_\_\_\_ correctly recorded complainant \_\_\_\_\_ Origin/Race as White "attached" which was also filed with the same \_\_\_\_\_ Court under Case No. \_\_\_\_\_ that was subsequently Dismissed by the same \_\_\_\_\_ Court's judge \_\_\_\_\_ "now retired" of \_\_\_\_\_ on \_\_\_\_\_ "attached" after Complainant presented Evidence Corroborating officer \_\_\_\_\_ mistake.

Under currently open \_\_\_\_\_ Court Case No. \_\_\_\_\_ Complainant \_\_\_\_\_ submitted to \_\_\_\_\_ Court a total of Seven Motions including three different Motions To Recuse current Court Judge \_\_\_\_\_ and in each of those Motions To Recuse judge \_\_\_\_\_ litigant "complainant" \_\_\_\_\_ presented number of different reasons relating to why complainant \_\_\_\_\_ believes judge \_\_\_\_\_ may consider Recusing himself, contending for the most part that information publicly available pertaining to judge \_\_\_\_\_ education and career proves judge \_\_\_\_\_ worked for and represented the \_\_\_\_\_ County "state" therefore, may consider Recusal for representing the same Complainant "state of Arizona government" that filed the Traffic Complaint. Judge \_\_\_\_\_ didn't address ALL issues raised by complainant \_\_\_\_\_ but picked and chose to respond to a couple of all the issues raised by complainant \_\_\_\_\_ for judge Lewis to consider recusal. Submitted Motion(S) that were denied by judge \_\_\_\_\_ including Motion To Strike, Motion To Strike And/Or Dismiss, Motion(S) To Compel Discovery!

Judge \_\_\_\_\_ denied ALL complainant's Motions including Motion(S) To Compel Discovery, and briefly addressed working for the \_\_\_\_\_ County "state attorney" in the capacity of -as- Assistant to County's Attorney, and avoided mentioning that he represented the County/state!

Complaint Details Against \_\_\_\_\_ Court's judge \_\_\_\_\_ - Page 1 of 2

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007



**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name:  Judge's Name:

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Complainant raised a number of OTHER issues that believed jeopardize judge impartiality and directly interferes with preserving litigant's Rights and Due Process. Judge DENIED ALL MOTIONS submitted by complainant including:  
1- Motion To Strike Arizona's state officer's under Rule 116 of Arizona's Rules of Civil Procedures "filing a Response to a Complaint" [ARCP 12(f)] as the Complaint filed with Court contained -Immaterial/Impertinent- by recording complainant's Origin/Race as Black instead of White which provided sufficient grounds to Strike Down and Dismiss the erroneous Complaint, but judge not only Denied complainant's Motion(S) To Strike Down the complaint and Motion(S) To Strike Down/Dismiss, but he also amended the Complaint filed against Complainant "litigant" on behalf of Arizona's state officer without officer's Motion as if judge is still representing County or as State's Attorney! Then went further Denying:  
2- Complainant's Motion(s) To Compel Discovery "attached"  
3- Complainant's Motion(s) To Recuse judge "attached"  
Complainant is submitting this Complaint against Court judge for violating complainant's RIGHTS to a fair impartial "Bench" trial, DISREGARDING material facts and Law, and violating Due Process by denying Motion(S) To Compel Discovery! as if judge has Magic crystal Ball and will get to the bottom of what really happened using his Magic Crystal Ball!  
Attached Documents containing judge responses "Denying Motions submitted" prove "UNEQUIVOCALLY" judge of Court INHERENT BIAS as he didn't fulfill his duties to preserve litigant "complainant" Rights since Neutrality requires that judges don't/SHOULD NOT distort conception of the facts or the Law, and against this very backdrop judge committed violations by CIRCUMSIZING/TAILORING FACTS AND MISCONSTRUING GOVERNING LAW(S) to justify one Denial after another for Motion(S) submitted by complainant "litigant" as attached supporting Documents reveal and prove judge BIAS AND IMPARTIALITY RESULTING IN VIOLATING LITIGANT'S RIGHTS AS WELL AS VIOLATION DUE PROCESS. AS WELL AS NATURAL PRINCIPLE(S) OF JUSTICE!  
Complainant is requesting that Arizona's Commission on Judicial Conduct INVESTIGATE judge of Court reported VIOLATIONS of Complainant "litigant" Due Process/Civil Rights as judge demonstrated a pattern and willful practices that aren't consistent with preserving litigant's RIGHTS/DUE PROCESS through unjustifiable Denials, actions, and DELIBERATE FAILURE to provide litigant with a fair hearing/trial by denying Discovery, also through judge refusal to Recuse himself after ignoring majority reasons the Complainant "litigant" raised for requested Recusal and disregarding arguments raised by Complainant "litigant" for Striking Down Arizona's state police officer complaint that carried erroneous information relating to Complainant "litigant" as well as DELIBERATE VIOLATION OF DUE PROCESS by Denying complainant's Motion To Compel Discovery!  
Complainant truly believes judge of Court should be sanctioned and reprimanded for his DELIBERATE violations of Due Process and complainant's CIVIL RIGHTS.

ITel No.

COURT

THE STATE OF ARIZONA  
PLAINTIFF,

)  
) COMPLAINT#  
) COUNTY, ARIZONA  
)

V.

BEFORE THE HONORABLE JUDGE  
MOTION TO STRIKE AND OR DISMISS

Now comes respondent -defendant- Pro Se, and Pursuant to Arizona Statutes ARCP Rule 116 (f), (b) & (e) "copy attached" requests that the Court STRIKES above captioned matter. Wherefore the respondent "defendant" prays a judgment Striking state of Arizona's complaint No. or Dismissing this action WITH PREJUDICE in the alternative based on the following Facts and Argument.

FACTS

This alleged speeding infraction/violation was issued by Arizona's state police officer on around for same Pro Se respondent. State police officer Complaint includes Immaterial and Impertinent FALSIFYING information, as officer entered respondent "defendant" origin "race" for instead of for . Legislators did not exclude civil traffic matters from ARCP Rule 116.

ARGUMENT

On respondent was traveling on Arizona State Southbound, since it was a long drive from to defendant who doesn't have ANY traffic violations since "getting older" had cruise control deployed/set to corresponding speed Limit of MPH, and was adjusting the cruise controls up/down or canceling the cruise control altogether in order to abide by ever changing/corresponding speed limits accordingly. At the time of this alleged speeding infraction the respondent noticed MARKED state police vehicle traveling north on Northbound. Respondent spotted this same MARKED state police vehicle traveling in the opposite direction "Northbound on ' about a quarter or third mile prior passing respondent's vehicle. has one lane traveling south and one lane traveling north. road is wide open and drivers traveling on both directions of can EASILY SPOT any vehicle traveling in the opposite direction from a distance as far as a mile away or at least a quarter to to half mile away. Respondent saw MARKED state police cruiser driven by state trooper about a third or a quarter mile away traveling in the opposite direction. Respondent made eye contact with Arizona's state police officer while passing each other in opposite directions as trooper was traveling north on Northbound while respondent was traveling south on . After passing each other, respondent continued traveling on Northbound for approximately about another miles then noticed that state police cruiser traveling behind respondent in a high rate of speed with emergency lights on. Respondent believed an emergency call was in progress and therefore the state police vehicle was traveling rapidly behind respondent, only to get a surprise by Arizona's state police officer performing a traffic stop on respondent for allegedly speeding, using the magic number of being Mph in a Mph limit. Previous formula used by Arizona's state police officer was in ! Respondent expressed his frustration over what appears to be either retaliation or error as respondent received a speeding citation by "seasoned/older" state trooper on that was eventually Dismissed by this same Court and respondent noticed during the few times traveling through Arizona after trooper's citation Dismissal that state police cars follow respondent around while in Arizona; since state police and law enforcement agencies have the capacity to locate ANY individual's precise location using info and technologies available to same agencies -God Bless "merica"- OR it could very well be a faulty radar reading since these speed calibration devices hardly get any maintenance and therefore provide erroneous speed calibration ranging to miles up/down the true speed. This time around, respondent got a discount as the alleged speeding infraction indicates Mph in a Mph zone, whereas previous citation by alleged Mph in Mph zone that was Dismissed by Court! Obviously, trooper decided to make a U turn and go after respondent for reasons to be sorted thoroughly during a Court Trial setting, in case this matter went to Trial and Recusal isn't warranted or requested. This matter is potentially another case of government's officers QUOTA ERROR. Hopefully retaliation wasn't intended. Funny fact that BOTH Arizona's state police officers got same Initials, and !

Respectfully Submitted,  
on

In case this matter went to Trial:  
A true copy of the foregoing will be served on state's assigned attorney

ARIZONA

ARIZONA TRAFFIC TICKET AND COMPLAINT

Report Number
COLLISION
FATALITY
SERIOUS INJURY
COMMERCIAL
HAZ MAT
10 PASSENGER

Complaint
Interpreter Required?
Language
Spanish
Other

DEFENDANT
Driver's License Number
State
Class
Military
Endorsements
Restrictions
Name: First, Middle, Last
Residential Address
City, State, Zip Code
Telephone (Cell Phone)
Gender, Eyes, Hair, Height, Weight, Origin, DOB, Email Address
Business Address
City, State, Zip Code, Business Phone

VEHICLE
Year, Color, Make, Model, Style 4D, License Plate, State, Expir. Date, Vehicle Identification Number (VIN)
Registered Owner, Address, City, State, Zip Code
THE UNDERSIGNED CERTIFIES THAT:
Date, Time, SPEED, Approx, Posted, R&P, Speed Measurement Device, Equipment Number, Direction Of Travel SOUTH

AT
On-Highway YES, Highway, Milepost, Location, Precinct, County, JUDGE ARIZONA
THE DEFENDANT COMMITTED THE FOLLOWING:
Section 28-701A, Statute ARS, Violation SPEED GREATER THAN REASONABLE AND PRUDENT, Domestic Violence Case
Offense Classification: Criminal, Criminal Traffic, Petty Offense, Civil Traffic, Civil Non-Traffic

You Must Appear At:
Court, Court No., AT THE DATE AND TIME INDICATED: VIRTUAL APPEARANCES VARY BY COURT, Date, Time
Court Phone No., Business Address, City, State, Zip Code

CRIMINAL: Without advising your attorney, appear in court
CIVIL: Without a pending restraining order, file a change of name with the court
VICIM?, VICIM NOTIFIED?, FINGERPRINTED?
SERVED
COMPLAINT, PAGE NO, VIOLATION CODE

You may visit www.azdps.gov/courts for additional court or traffic school information.

IMPORTANT NOTICE TO DEFENDANT
This is a true copy of the offense described in the complaint that will be filed in the designated court or hearing office. The offense for which you have been cited is a Civil Traffic violation, a Civil Non-Traffic Violation, a Criminal offense, a Criminal Traffic offense, or a Petty offense. To determine which notice(s) applies to you, look at the box(es) checked under "the defendant committed the following".
If you are required to pay fines, penalties, fees, or other financial obligations as a result of this citation and you are unable to pay, bring this to the attention of court staff or the judge as payment over time or other alternatives may be available. Do not ignore the citation and the responsibility to pay as this may result in additional penalties and costs to you. For more information contact the court, or an attorney, or visit the following website: www.azdps.gov/courts.
By providing your cell phone number you are granting permission to receive texts and other communication regarding court dates, pending payments and other relevant information about your case. Normal text and SMS rates may apply. Please contact the court in which your case is filed should you desire to opt out of this service.
CIVIL TRAFFIC: If the Civil Traffic box is checked, notice is hereby given that if you fail to appear as directed in this complaint, a default judgment will be entered against you, a civil sanction will be imposed, the Department of Transportation may refuse to renew the registration of a vehicle of which you are the registered owner, and your case may be sent to collections.
CIVIL NON-TRAFFIC: If the Civil Non-Traffic box is checked, notice is hereby given that if you fail to appear as directed in this complaint, a default judgment will be entered against you, and a civil penalty will be imposed.
CIVIL MARIJUANA VIOLATION IMMIGRATION ADVISEMENT: Marijuana remains a controlled substance that is illegal to possess under federal law. As a result, a finding of responsibility for this infraction may impact your immigration status. For further information and advice, you should consult an attorney.
CRIMINAL OR PETTY OFFENSE: If the Criminal or Petty Offense box is checked, notice is hereby given that if you fail to appear in court as directed in this complaint, a warrant will be issued for your arrest (A.R.S. 13-3903[F]).
CRIMINAL TRAFFIC: If the Criminal Traffic box is checked, notice is hereby given that if you fail to appear as directed in this complaint on a criminal charge, a warrant will be issued for your arrest and your license will be suspended (A.R.S. 28-1557[B](1)).
(The court, law enforcement agency or public body responsible for issuing the Arizona Traffic Ticket and Complaint must include the optional information considered necessary to the defendant regarding consequences there, and the payment of fines or civil penalties.)

ARIZONA

ARIZONA TRAFFIC TICKET AND COMPLAINT

Report Number
COLLISION
FATALITY
SERIOUS INJURY
COMMERCIAL
HAZ MAT
10 PASSENGER

Complainant, Interpreter Required?, Language, Driver's Licensing Number, State, Class, Motorist, Endorsements, Restrictions, Name, Residential Address, Gender, Eyes, Hair, Height, Weight, Origin, Email Address, Business Address, City, State, Zip Code, Business Phone, Year, Color, Make, Model, Style, License Plate, State, Expir. Date, Vehicle Identification Number (VIN), Registered Owner, Address, City, State, Zip Code

THE UNDERSIGNED CERTIFIES THAT:
Data, Time, SPEED, Approv, Posted, R&P, Speed Measurement Device, Enforcement Number, Direction Of Travel WEST, On-Highway YES, Highway, Mileage, Location, Precinct, County, STATE OF ARIZONA

THE DEFENDANT COMMITTED THE FOLLOWING:
Section 28-701A, Statute ARS, Violation SPEED ABOVE POSTED, Domestic Violence Case, Offense Classification, Criminal, Criminal Traffic, Petty Offense, Civil Traffic, Civil Non-Traffic

You Must Appear At: Court, Court No., AT OR BEFORE THE DATE AND TIME INDICATED: Date, Time 10:00, Court Phone No., Business Address, City, State, Zip Code

CRIMINAL: CIVIL: X SERVED, VICTIM?, VICTIM NOTIFIED?, FINGERPRINTED?, 8403676, 03/17/2018

You may visit www.azdps.gov/courts for additional court or traffic school information.

IMPORTANT NOTICE TO DEFENDANT
This is a true copy of the offense described in the complaint that will be filed in the designated court or hearing officer. The offense for which you have been cited is a Civil Traffic violation, a Civil Non-Traffic Violation, a Criminal offense, a Criminal Traffic offense, or a Petty offense. To determine which notice(s) applies to you, look at the box(es) checked under "the defendant committed the following".
If you are required to pay fines, penalties, fees or other financial obligations as a result of this citation and you are unable to pay, bring this to the attention of court staff or the judge as payment over time or other alternatives may be available. Do not ignore the citation and the responsibility to pay as this may result in additional penalties and costs to you. For more information contact the court, or an attorney, or visit the following website: www.azdps.gov/courts.
By providing your cell phone number you are granting permission to receive texts and other communication regarding court dates, pending payments and other relevant information about your case. Normal text and SMS rates may apply. Please contact the court in which your case is filed should you desire to opt out of this service.
CIVIL TRAFFIC: If the Civil Traffic box is checked, notice is hereby given that if you fail to appear as directed in this complaint, a default judgment will be entered against you, a civil sanction will be imposed, the Department of Transportation may refuse to renew the registration of a vehicle of which you are the registered owner, and your case may be sent to collections.
CIVIL NON-TRAFFIC: If the Civil Non-Traffic box is checked, notice is hereby given that if you fail to appear as directed in this complaint, a default judgment will be entered against you, and a civil penalty will be imposed.
CIVIL MARIJUANA VIOLATION IMMIGRATION ADVISEMENT: Marijuana remains a controlled substance that is illegal to possess under federal law. As a result, a finding of responsibility for this infraction may impact your immigration status. For further information and advice, you should consult an attorney.
CRIMINAL OR PETTY OFFENSE: If the Criminal or Petty Offense box is checked, notice is hereby given that if you fail to appear in court as directed in this complaint, a warrant will be issued for your arrest (A.R.S. 13-1001(F)).
CRIMINAL TRAFFIC: If the Criminal Traffic box is checked, notice is hereby given that if you fail to appear as directed in this complaint on a criminal charge, a warrant will be issued for your arrest and your license will be suspended (A.R.S. 28-155(C)(1)).

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS  
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE  
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED  
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE  
REMAINDER OF THE  
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,  
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST  
IN WRITING TO THE  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL  
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE  
THE COMMISSION CASE  
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**