State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

| | Disposition of Complaint 23-334 |
|--------------|---------------------------------|
| Judge: | |
| Complainant: | |

ORDER

February 20, 2024

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge illegally sentenced him, engaged in improper demeanor, and had delayed rulings in criminal case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Michael J. Brown did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on February 20, 2024.

BEFORE THE COMMISSION 1 on Judicial Conduct 2023-334 STATE of ARIZONO 3. 4 IN THE MOTTER OF) Cosanto. an Judge of the Courty (To be Supplied by the Commission). Court of the State of DE 2000STA PLEASE TAKE NOTICE! 10 Progra Take abolice, That the Complainant, 12 ibring this Complaint against the named (3 Respondent in reference to the County 14 Caserbis. Where the Respondent acted 16 out of Malice, Prejudice, and bias as he Conspired With 17 Griends, How Defense ATTOVIEW. , and 18 the Prosecutor Attorney, as the Judge intentionally and 19 Knowingly sontened the Complainant unconstitutionally 20 to reposited Aggravated Terms and Plat Fine and to 21 exceed the sontence limitation that he Could impose 22 on the Complainant using improper demoanor (Yelling 23 and Indenues) toward the Complainant; Pailing to uphold 24 thaintegrity of his office; failing to assure Complainant 25 On fair and impartial hearing to teluse to acknowledge 26 Complainants mitigating factors, Age, health Problems, 27 Certificaters, Tetter, oct. ; refusal to acknowledge Jail 28 (Rage 1 & 2)

| 1 | Time Credite; to intentionally and knowingly make improper |
|----------------|--|
| 2 | Communications surrounding Complainant's time of arrest |
| 3 | Per Riobation Violation; and, To intentionally and Knowingly |
| 4 | Couse delay in Performing dubies as to Complainantis Pro, |
| 5 | Sontiers for charge of Judge, Notice of Post- |
| | Coaliction Paliet and motion to Stat Judgerant Rending |
| ? | Appeal - Sudge the Respondent in this matter |
| 8 | intentionally and travingly Violated the Carrons and or |
| 9 | intentionally and thousingly Violated the Carons and or the Code of Judicial Conduct, Rules of the Arizona |
| 10 | Court- |
| 11 | -merefore, because of the foregoing allegations made |
| 12 | against the Respondent and Use Commission should hold an |
| 13 | against the Respondent and the Commission should hold an investigation bringing formal Charges against the Respondent removing him from office , if warrented. |
| 14 | Respondent removing him from office it was anted. |
| 15 | |
| 16 | Signed, this day of |
| 17 | |
| 18 | Complainant' |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| Z | |
| 22 | (Page 2 La) |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 23 24 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| | |