State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 23-341
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

February 12, 2024

The Complainant alleged a municipal court judge was not qualified to decide cases.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Regina L. Nassen did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on February 12, 2024.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2023-341

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	by agent:	Judge's Name:	
words v names, pages m	tions: Use this form or plain paper of the what you believe the judge did that constitued dates, times, and places that will help any be attached along with copies (not original aper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for	utes judicial miso the commission ls) of relevant cou	conduct. Be specific and list all of th understand your concerns. Additions
the which h	emplaint is being filed by the flesh and blood estate. this complaint against as been displayed on their behalf, but also of crime by posing as an official public serva	is to an act of bias, pre	highlight not only judicial misconduct
the caus	se for the warrant was due to a missed cour ry of the matter, the estate p s, an affidavit to quash the bench warrant do	rovided	was never notified of. Upon the court along with its
paymer one hea	otion was denied without cause as at of Upon submission and plenty of re aring was eventually granted around ledge the estate and conclud	equests for a hea with	n the estate making the initial bond ring which were constantly denied, who denied to
submitte the esta court ro pay the miscone	ate to produce the proper evidence along wi om. however the request for trial was denie bond. The denial for a fair trial was th	in order for the ac th all admissible i d by e first occurence.	as he insisted for the estate to
commis on allowing		oack to olated their oath o itted treason by u	with the commission expiring of office by denying due process and
further I on file, a	y demand the Arizona Commission on Judiooking into this matter, but to confirm and in conclusion, investigate every and all na along with any other states dating back to	not har cases he ruled or	ving a current and valid oath of office n for the past seven years in the state

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY					

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE							
Name: by agent:	Judge's Name:						
words what you believe the judge did that const names, dates, times, and places that will help the	the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own titutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the commission understand your concerns. Additional page of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of your records.						

COURT COUNTY, STATE OF ARIZONA

, Plaintiff

VS.

, Defendant

NOTICE OF ORDER OF PROTECTION HEARING

You are scheduled to appear at the for a hearing regarding a Protective Order. PLEASE arrive 15 minutes before the scheduled time below.

The case is scheduled for an OOP - POST ISSUE hearing on:

CASE NUMBER

COURT DATE

COURTROOM

TIME

Protective Order Center

The hearing on will be limited to allegations contained on the Petition and Orders in the Protective Order. You have an opportunity to provide testimony and evidence related to these matters for the Judge to consider.

Evidence

You have a right to present evidence to support your position. If evidence is stored on your electronic device, it must be printed and/or transferred to a CD/DVD disk or USB flash drive to present to the judge during the hearing. You are also required to provide copies to the other party during the hearing.

Witness(es)

You may bring witnesses to provide testimony in your case. Upon request, a judge may issue subpoenas for witnesses.

Unable to attend

If you are unable to attend and wish to have the hearing continued, you must submit a written request for a continuance explaining why you are unable to attend, preferably 3 days in advance of your hearing date. Email your request to:

. Continuances are not automatically granted, and you are expected to attend unless notified otherwise by court staff. If you fail to appear for this hearing, the judge may rule on the case in your absence.

Additional Information

You are required to keep your address and phone number current with court staff. Any order served on or after is in effect for two years from date of service or until the judge dismisses the case. Any order served before is in effect for one year from date of service or until the judge dismisses the case.

If you have questions, please contact the Order of Protection office at that court staff is unable to provide legal advice.

. However, please note

Requests for reasonable accommodation for persons with disabilities must be made to the Court by parties at least 3 working days in advance of the scheduled court proceeding or any other court business by calling or for TTY use 7-1-1, between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. Monday through Friday, excluding City observed holidays.

DISTRIBUTION: Court, Plaintiff, Defendant

From:		
Sent:		
To:	Orders of Protection	
Subject:	FW: CASE #	

From: Sent: To:

Subject: CASE #

This is a request for a hearing regarding case # for the protective order in place filed by the plaintiff to be removed as the allegations within that claim is merely fiction and hearsay based on the wrongful doing towards the defendant with the plaintiff is constantly manipulating the judicial system.

Plaintiff has alleged weapons and the defendant having weapons in the order which is untrue and an act of perjury being committed by the plaintiff.

The plaintiff has also accused the defendant of having possession of a knife they've claimed to have seen before while romantically involved with the defendant and i would not only challenge and rebut against this heinous accusations, i would also challenge the court to order the the plaintiff in specifying the types of weapons owned by the defendant, where and how many times they've seen it in possession of the defendant which have allowed them to make such claims.

THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.

FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.