

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 23-360

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

February 20, 2024

The Complainant alleged a superior court pro tem judge made improper rulings in a criminal case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Michael J. Brown and Christopher P. Staring did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on February 20, 2024.

Comp

2023-360

COUNTY  
JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Compliant

## Evidence of Facts

1. case # AZ Scientific Examination Report
2. Disclosure to date
3. Reports

History of investigating found no criminal crimes committed and all cases were dismissed, Unsubstantiated Closed and Proposal to the Grand Jury on . and

### A. Grand Jury Proposal Part 1

Page line clearly explain the scenario to the case family rumor influence children failed to report to the proper authorizes or Police.

Page line Quotes: " " and could not charge this person because of this family rumors.

Grand jurors made it clear, if any chargers were to come out of the case, share plenty of concerns with the family group failure to report and failure to protect these babies. Quote: page 50 line 8-11 you are not looking at an aspect of failure to report or failure to protect, you are looking at conspiracy to commit these offenses? Yes.

### B. July Grand Jury Proposal Part 2

page line – Quote: " " , Page line Quotes: " " Arizona revised Statutes Title 13 criminal code of Conspiracy.

Page Grand Jury show concerns for further investigators order to bring these people named back to County Grand Jury to hear from, even if it maybe not the same group of Grand Jury Broad member to still get to the bottom of this page Quote: line " , with clear understanding in good faith the subpoena power for ongoing investigation of conspiracy for this case was granted. With no further investigation the defendant was arrested and charged with the same grand jury proposal and tested for DNA lab testing.

### C. On result read " " to this unfortunate insinuation and the judicial took no extrajudicial activity to exonerate the

defendant from the unjust criminal charges, releases the defendant to his own  
recondense in violation of judicial misconduct.

- 2. Metropolitan Report** pages Perpetrator tells  
the the same hallucination story of false allegations. The  
Police report clearly states things did not appear as she described. The defendant  
was arrested, with prohibited documentation Quote. “

”

The defendant was later transferred from to AZ County Jail, placed on  
bail and charged with the same criminal crimes exonerated from this individual  
prosecution by the grand jury, and the Judge avoided the fact of evidence, misconduct the case,  
continues to detain the defendant on excessive bail, and did not exonerate him from the  
unjustly criminal charges, denies the defendant constitutional rights to freedom liberty.

- 3. Minutes Entry Judge Clarify Ruling 404 Evidence**

Quote: “

- a. The judicial was inconclusive, misled the Defendant who was still in Custody,  
ask for another attorney for adequate representation to rectify the legal  
issues on hand. The Judge misconduct the courts and denies defendant  
request (again)continues to detain and schedule the 404 characterize other  
wrong act jury trial date that is prohibited by the State and Federal “Rules of  
Evidence”

4. the Jury Trial Day 1

The judge knowing this jury trial constitutes wrong doing did not follow criminal jury trial procedure and did not expose the defendant full exoneration in discovery to the court. Secretly selects the trial juror without defendant awareness, allow jury trial to be one side and based off inadmissible evident.

The judge allows the same conspiracy group proposed to the grand jury, falsify the same vindictive lies of explicit hallucination of sexual profanity that was unsubstantiated from the , exonerated by the grand jury from this individual prosecution that is prohibited by State and Federal Rules of Evidence, "Witnesses as Evidence" are to be trueful and not pathological liars to misled the trail jury.

The judge knowingly knew there are No Fact of Evidence to this case allowed this misguidance child who had no understanding in what she was taught to say when she was years old and these many years later, allows this innocent child to be place on the jury stand quotes " these horrifying criminal acts supposed to had happen to her?

The judge allowed this child to be coached to read to the jury the same inappropriate explicit profanity conspiracy lies of false allegation from the same unsubstantiated report, that no reasonable person would never allow any child use to such explicit profanity, especially Knowing there is no truth to the story. The judge Victimized this innocent child to be misled as if this heinous crime has happened to her, oppressed this innocent child, the defendant, his family, and the judicial system to constitute this unjust guilty jury verdict and despicable judicial misconduct.

- b. The Judicial did not take extrajudicial activities to rectify third party motion to vacate unjust jury verdict or the defendant to plea letters or the State Rule 20 acquittal or Federal rule 20 civil procedure to prevent this unjust life sentencing from going forward or the defendant unjust guilty verdict from

being released to the media. The judge misled the court to recuses thyself and deprive the defendant constitutional right to freedom liberty.

#### 4. Sentence Judge

The sentencing judge by pass The State and Federal Rule 20, he by-passes the third - party motion to vacate the unjust guilty verdict, he by passes the defendant plea letters send to the court unfair jury trial, and he did not exonerate defendant from this miscarriage of justice.

The judge misconducts the case and read the same exonerated charges of explicit profanity from the grand jury fake indictment, even from the one is who did not testify and not even aware of their names being slander throughout this whole case, sentence this innocent man to maxima high security hours a day lock down life sentence institutionalization and deprive defendant constitutional human right to freedom liberty.

5. , the defendant appeals this court ruling, receives a letter from the court to file his own appeal, the defendant was dehumanized and could not legally represent himself, gives his power of attorney to prove his innocent with the court, in which she did.

1. the Court receives a letter from the State of Arizona  
Order Department County Cause No.  
Quote "

”, therefore, Ordered: Untitled Document  
(treated as a supplemental Anders Brief) shall not be filed

a. The Judicial offers misconduct the case, disfranchised the truth, and deprived the citizen right to protection any person from any form of endangerments, the judicial did not resolve these legal issues on hands to

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS  
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE  
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED  
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE  
REMAINDER OF THE  
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,  
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST  
IN WRITING TO THE  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL  
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE  
THE COMMISSION CASE  
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**