State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 23-366
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

February 23, 2024

The Complainant alleged a superior court commissioner failed to disqualify himself and made improper rulings in a criminal case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Denise K. Aguilar did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on February 23, 2024.

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

2023-366

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

		$\overline{}$
N.T	me: Judge's Name:	
w na pa	structions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your rds what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of mes, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additionally, and be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.	the
in greath the kitch	I am writing this letter to shed light on this complaint against Judge I, am writing this letter on behalf of cause he is incarcerated at this moment and I also serve as his POA. was accused of the allegations that he was and he was not in front a judge who was fair and partial. was before Judge for his case which ended in a day trial beginning ending in a year prison sentence as well as a lifetime registration and a permanent mark on his sord. He is years old and this entire situation has severely impacted his future. He is not just anoth see number. He is not another file. He is a person with a bright future, a family, a full life. While, the sions of Judge is not the only issue that arose during this case, but it did have a very large pact overall in this case. Day of the trial is where the first issued arose and this was during jury selection. There was a ntlemen who stated that he knew the prosecutor, knew Judge and his family, as well being tired While I know that the rules have changed since COVID so as long as people say at they wont be biased, they can serve. However, this gentlemen got to serve on the jury and I believe tit it had a factor in it. I believe that Judge should have addressed it when the juror said this it showed a conflict of interest, a favoring to the prosecution and court side. As I believe the prosecu should have as well. Look at it from the defendants standpoint, this jury member admitting powing the prosecutor and judges family and now had a hand in deciding his fate and we are suppose trust and assume that he has not already made up his mind before the trial began. How do we know that back into deliberation and was adamant about making sure the jury all agreed on guilty. We do no sow just as much as the next but with the connection that he had to the Judge and prosecutor, it could be very well been the situation. Judge should have spoke up and acknowledge the mection between them and this jury member. It is in the Day trial transcripts for the jury member.	ner a g a e s tor to e he b t
o Jtwpwhaa oath	Day came around and the guilty verdict was read after a deliberation, which was viously devastating for the defendant. was then taken into custody after Judge ordered it per the states " '. Now fast forward to , sentencing day. had character letters and people speak in person on behalf of his character. However, dge still found it in his reasoning to sentence to what he imposed. However, get to that point there were a few back and fourths between the judge and prosecutor. Judge register as he did not feel it was warranted, however, the secutor referenced a case and then all of a sudden Judge changed his mind. Same thing the sentencing. These allegations were brought up in and not served jail time at attended every single hearing, as well as his family. He showed he had strong ties to the community well as the desire and determination to continue to be a good citizen despite what these allegations defined the taken into custody as he could be a danger outside. He had not displayed any sign that nor gave any violent indications. However, felt he had the upper hand, requested the	e and y at is iis

I appreciate the time that you have taken to read my complaint. I hope that the right steps are taken to correct this as now we are sitting here trying to piece our life together after going through that trial. Can you imagine sitting in there watching this go on knowing that they can say whatever they want and there is nothing you can do about it? Feeling outnumbered. Feeling the uneven balance in the justice system. It was not innocent until proven guilty. It was they can do and say whatever they please and that is what goes. That is not acceptable. That is not justice served. Judge and the prosecution did wrong and I hope that justice can be served how it should be. There should have been no conflicts of interest and that man should have most definitely been excused from serving on the jury but why would Judge

or the prosecutor say anything considering it could only benefit them. I have the documentation to back up all of these complaints as well as paperwork for POA if needed but right now we are the voice for because that was taken away at trial when Judge and the court system allowed for these things to go on, knowing that this was someones future being decided. This is an unacceptable way to act and I really hope that at the end of this, justice will be served the way it should have the first time and that the people are held accountable for this.