

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 23-471

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

March 1, 2024

The Complainant alleged a justice of the peace made improper rulings in an order of protection case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Barbara Brown and Louis Frank Dominguez did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on March 1, 2024.

AS LEFTS
AND PROCEEDS TO SAY (AND DO):

"UP INTO THE JUDICIAL BENCH,

I'm NOT KIDDING!
HISTORY OF PROOF WORK

"MUST BE REMOVED FROM THE BENCH:

I HAVE APPEARED BEFORE
COURTS. MY NEIGHBOR ENEMY,
NUMBER

BODYS PART "ALLEGATIONS" "LIES

CLERK AND ORDERED,

A MINUTE ENTRY ADVISING ME CD FORMATS WERE NO LONGER AVAILABLE, AND IF I WANTED

"IN BOTH (ALLEGED) CRIMINAL AND CIVIL (IAD)
OBTAINED A BODYS PART AGAINST ME IN CASE
AFTER AN HOUR LONG "HEARING" WHERE I TORE APART
AND SIMPLY ASKED:

"TURNED TO
SAID, " J.P.

TURNED TO THE ASSISTANT
WHEN I APPEARED THE MATTER I RECEIVED

A COPY OF THE AUDIO RECORD I COULD ONLY DO SO VIA E-MAIL.
KNOWS FULL WELL AS A STRUCTURED HOMELESS MAN (CRAMPING NOW FOR YEARS), I
HADS NO ELECTRICITY, I REFUSE TO LEARN ANYTHING TO DO WITH A COMPUTER, I
HADS NO COMPUTER, AND I REFUSE TO EVEN LEARN HOW TO TEXT. A FEW MONTHS
AFTER FILING MY "NOTICE OF APPEAL" (ETC.) I RECEIVED A MINUTE ENTRY ORDER ORDERING
MY APPEAL DISMISSED ON ABANDONMENT GROUNDS → CLAIMING THE TIME EXPIRED
WITH WHICH TO FILE MY "MEMORANDUM." PROBLEM IS, I DID FILE MY APPELLATE
MEMORANDUM → BUT FILED IT → "APPELLANT'S OPENING BRIEF." I FILED A "MOTION
TO PROCEED WITH DELAYED APPEAL," SHOWING CLEAR ERROR WRONGLY DISMISSED
MY APPEAL → BUT J.P. SIMPLY IGNORED SAME! I FILED MOTION FOR

RELIEF UNDER RULE 60(C), AZ. R. CIV. P., PROVIDING THE COURT WITH A BRAND NEW
SMART PHONE LOADED WITH AN S.D. CARD WITH SEVERAL VIDEO-RECORDINGS I
PRODUCED PROVING I WAS DEFINITELY N-O-T "AFRAID OF MS"
AND THEREFORE ENTITLED ME TO RULE 60(C) RELIEF BASED UPON → FRAUD →
AND J.P. SIMPLY "DENIED" SAME. I THEN FILED ANOTHER "NOTICE OF

APPEAL" → WHICH WENT EITHER UNPROCESSED → OR IGNORED! SAID
I AM EXPIRED ON
MOT!

EARLIER ON.
IN JUSTICE COURT AS J.P. I SUED OUT FOR AN ITAFI AGAINST TOTAL
ENTERED THE COURTROOM ("ALL RISE")

AS J.P.

WANTED PART MIS HE INVITED ME UP TO THE BANK WOULD SAYING

I SAID,

J.P.

DIDN'T EVEN TAKE THE TIME TO READ MY PETITIONS, AND ORDERED HIS CLERK TO ISSUE SAME -> ORDERING TO REMAIN APPROXIMATELY

AWAY FROM ME -> WHEN I ACTUALLY WAS REQUESTING NUMEROUS OTHER PROVISIONS, INCLUDING "DISTANCE. CONSIDERABLE"

SERVED THE COUPLE.

A SHORT TIME LATER, LOCAL PROMINENT LICENSED ATTORNEY

APPEARED AS THE

COUPLE'S "COUNSEL OF RECORD." AT HEARING

ADVISED J.P.

MY JAW PETITION WERE NOT SWORN TO. MY PETITION AGAINST

WAS SO ENDORSED; BUT MY PETITION AGAINST

MAY NOT HAVE BEEN.

WITHOUT AFFORDING ME THE OPPORTUNITY TO SIMPLY AMEND/SUPPLEMENT MY

PETITION WITH AN "UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY" ENDORSEMENT, J.P.
ORDERED BOTH PETITIONS "DISMISSED." TAKEN, WITHOUT ANY PAPERWORK
WHAT-SO-EVER FROM → NO PRINTED MOTION; NO "NUTTIN" →

J.P. ORDERED → I, PAY
PAYABLE TO "

AS ATTORNEYS FEES
NOTICE OF APPEARANCE
INSTEAD OF

NOTE: CASE CAPTIONS → CITING
COUNTY → REFERRING TO THE PLAINTIFF → AS → "THE STATE OF ARIZONA" →
INSTEAD OF →

I FILED A TRULY "NOTICE OF APPEAR" AS TO BOTH DISMISSED CASES.

ARGUED I AM HARASSING HIS CLIENTS, MY HANDWRITING WAS
UNINTELLIGIBLE, AND SINCE MY JURY PETITIONS WERE "VEHEMENT" → ALL OF
MY FILED PLEADINGS SHOULD BE STRIKEN PROVIDED J.P.
WITH A PREPARED ORDER INCORPORATING EVERYTHING DEMANDS →

WHICH J.P. SIGNED. AS SUCH, I AM NOW UNABLE TO PROCEED IN
ANY COURT ACTION IN JARMA PROCEEDINGS. I AM NOW DEEMED TO BE →
A "VEHEMENT" PLAINTIFF TAKEN, NOT BEING ABLE TO APPEAR (SINCE MY "NOTICES

1. MY JURY PETITIONS PROVIDED ALLEGATIONS OF OVER 70 JURY VIOLATIONS AGAINST
AN EARLIER ISSUED JURY (w/VIDEO RECORDINGS, ETC.) AND 1/2 DOZEN OR SO AGAINST
RE: 2 J.P.

2. TRY READING THE HANDWRITING FROM
THAT, TRULY, IS UNINTELLIGIBLE!

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**