

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 23-472

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

March 1, 2024

The Complainant alleged a justice of the peace made improper rulings in his criminal case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Barbara Brown and Louis Frank Dominguez did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on March 1, 2024.

**CONFIDENTIAL**

State of Arizona  
Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

2023-472

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Judge's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

REPRESENTS A CRIMINAL CHARGE OF "HARASSMENT."  
REPRESENTS A CRIMINAL CHARGE OF "INTERFERING w/ A JUDICIAL PROCEEDING"  
(Injunction Against HARASSMENT SERVED UPON ME ISSUED TO \_\_\_\_\_ OUT OF  
Justice Court -> \_\_\_\_\_)

JUSTICE OF THE PEACE \_\_\_\_\_ WAS THE INITIAL JUDGE OVER BOTH  
ABOVE SAID CASES. \_\_\_\_\_ REFUSED TO APPLY PROCEDURAL DUE PROCESS  
AFTER HE ~~HAD~~ OBEYED A RULE 10.1 AND/OR 10.2 SERVED UPON HIM WHICH CAUSED  
J.P. \_\_\_\_\_ TO ENTER AS JUDGE

THE FIRST "CONFERENCE" I ATTENDED BEFORE J.P. \_\_\_\_\_ I ASKED  
IF A CHARGE OF "INTERFERING" ALLOWED FOR A -> JURY TRIAL, J.P.  
REPEATEDLY STATED (ON THE AUDIO RECORD) -> "YES, INTERFERING WITH A  
JUDICIAL PROCEEDING DOES QUALIFY AS JURY TRIAL" (WHILE SHAKING HIS HEAD  
UP & DOWN). I THEN ORALLY MADE MY DEMAND FOR A JURY TRIAL (FOLLOWED UP  
WITH A WRITTEN MOTION FOR JURY TRIAL). I THEN COMPLAINED THAT THE COUNTY  
ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, AS PROSECUTORS, REFUSED TO RESPOND TO A SINGLE LEGAL  
PLEADING I CAUSED TO BE FILED WITH THE CLERK OF J.P. COURT. I ALSO COMPLAINED  
THAT J.P. \_\_\_\_\_ TOLD ME ALL OF MY MOTIONS WERE "MOT". I REPLIED,  
"AND RESPONDED: " THIS SAID EXCHANGE WAS DUE TO  
EACH & EVERY MOTION (PLEADING) I FILED WAS JUST SUMMARILY "DENIED" OUT  
OF HAND WITHOUT A RESPONSE, THEN REY (AND HEARD A REQUESTED), NO ANYTHING ->  
SIMPLY HANDWRITING "DENIED" ON THE FRONT PAGE WITH FILE DATE AND  
INITIAL ENDORSEMENT. WITH THIS ESTABLISHED, I THEN PROCEEDED TO RE-NEW

most of the motions J.P.

"DENIED" (via a complete abrogation of the  
rule of law) AS WELL, ORDER HEARINGS ON THE  
"DENIED"

Rule of Law) -> only to AMUS J.P.

MATTERS, etc., But "ORDERS" -> EVERYTHING J.P.

ZENNS AS IS!

AT WHAT WAS SUPPOSED TO BE AN ARRANGMENT, J.P.

Now DO I PLEAD. I RESPONDED:

ASKED ME

THE RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE PROVIDE FOR THE COURT ENTERING A PLEA ON MY  
BEHALF. THINK ABOUT IT!  
SOMEHOW BUSINESS AS USUAL THE POWER

AUTHORITY TO F-O-R-C-E A PLEA OUT OF ME. EVER HEARD OF THE  
PROHIBITION OF INVOLUNTARY SERVITUDE GUARANTEED BY AND THROUGH THE  
13TH AND 14TH AMENDMENTS (U.S. CONST.), J.P. ? (WHAT I PUT

"COURT" ON NOTICE THAT THIS BEING "ARRANGEMENT" COULD NOT  
BE CAREFULLY RECOGNIZED AS A DE JURE ARRANGEMENT, AT NEXT CONFERENCE I  
WAS ORDERED TO ATTEND J.P. (ILLEGALLY) ORDERED THE ASSISTING CLERK

( ) TO CHECK-OFF BOXES CLAIMING THAT DATE/DAY TO BE THE DAY OF

ARRANGEMENT → AND → SCHEDULED BOTH MISDEMEANOR CASES FOR A →  
FRANK TRIAL. I FILED PLEADING ADVANTAGE OBJECTING, DEMANDING ADVENTURE-  
MENT FOR A → JURY TRIAL. I PUT THE "POINT" ON NOTICE THAT I WOULD  
REFUSE TO PARTICIPATE UNDER ALL THE FRANK CALLOUSNESS. EVERYTHING I FILED WAS  
IGNORED, EXCEPT J.P.  
BOGGS RULING REGARDING BOTH SPEEDY TRIAL  
VIOLATIONS (TAKING JUST UNDER TWO YEARS TO BRING ME TO "TRIAL" → (MORNING IS A MORE  
PROPER TERM!).

THEY ON 4 - just DAYS BEFORE THE  
SAID "MORNING" A GOOD FRIEND OF  
PROPERTY AND SHOT OFF SIX (6) ROUNDS FROM A SEMI-AUTOMATIC ASSAULT RIFLE  
HIS ( ) GIRLFRIEND (AFA) FURNISHED  
HIM → MISSING MY SON - I WHILE CREATING A BULLET HOLE IN  
TRUCK TAILGATE, SHOOTING OFF A TOE OF MY GRANDDAUGHTER'S DOG,  
AND DESTROYING A BRAND NEW TIRE ON SIDE TRUCK. I, NOW WITHOUT TRANSPORTATION,  
MOVED THE "COURT" FOR A CONFERENCE, BUT  
AS (BOGGS) ALLEGED "VICTIMS" → FILED A RESPONSE (AS IF HE IS/WAS  
SOMEHOW PROSECUTOR) SAYING MY MOTION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY SHOULD BE  
TREATED BY THE GOVERNMENT AS PERJURY (TO WHICH I SHOULD BE PROSECUTED)  
BECAUSE HE ( ) CHECKED THE JAIL AND NO ONE WAS DETAINED FOR  
SHOOTING AT SOMEONE. PENDING UNDER RULE OF LAW, IS ALSO UNDER

ORAL AND → CONSTITUTES A BOLD LIE TO WHICH HE AS AN OFFICER OF THE COURT, INTENDS NOT JUST FRAUD UPON THE COURT → BUT FELONY PERJURY!

IS FULLY AWARE OF THE FACT HIS CRIMINAL CLIENT →

WAS FULLY COMPLICIT IN J.P.

ATTEMPT ON MY SON & MY LIFE! 2.

DRIVED THE ONLY MOTION TO CONTINUE I FILED, AND ON I MADE DIFFERENT ARRANGEMENTS TO COME TO "COURT" FOR MY STAGGED

PRE-DETERMINED "BENCH TRIAL"

HOWEVER, I HAD JUST RECENTLY SERVED 28 GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS WITH MY EXTREMELY POTENT "NOTICE OF CLAIM", ONE OF WHICH WAS J.P. (THE SHERIFF, AND COUNTY ATTORNEY AND DEPUTY SHERIFFS, EVEN

INCLUSIVE). BECAUSE OF SAME, I FILED WHAT I COINED A "MOTION FOR CHANGE OF VENUE" (MORE CORRECTLY "MOTION FOR CHANGE OF PLACE OF TRIAL", AS PER RULE 10, N.J. CRIM. C.), ATTACHING A COPY (AS EXHIBIT) OF SAID "NOTICE OF CLAIM" J.P.

DIDN'T HESITATE IN ORDERING ME JAILED (DAYS) FOR CLAIMS/COMMENTS CONTAINED IN MY SAID "NOTICE" WHILE REFUSING TO ENTERTAIN, AT ALL, MY REQUEST FOR CHANGE OF PLACE OF TRIAL. DITTO → WITH MY "MOTION FOR CHANGE OF JUDGE FOR CAUSE".

(NOTE: THE RULE OF LAW M-A-N-D-A-T-E-S

1. MY SON & I WITNESSED

DRIVE TO "THEN" DRIVE OFF

RESIDENCE, AND SAY: WAS OBVIOUSLY IN

THE 4-ROOMER WITH BACK TO

MY SON, LATER (WITH A FLASHLIGHT)

) SAW HIMSELF, IS COMPLICIT IN A FELONY!

2. NEXT PAGES →

stripping a targeted Judge of all jurisdiction upon being served with a motion for change of Judge. AS SUCH, E-U-E-R-Y-T-H-I-N-G J.P.

DID FROM THE BENCH ON Awaiting an order to Nullify, AND VOID -> EVERYTHING (AS A MATTER OF PROCEDURAL DUE PROCESS TO UNITE ME WITH SUBSTANTIAL DUE PROCESS).  
IN ADDITION TO MY VENUE & JUDGE CHANGE MOTIONS, I MOTIONED THE ABSOLUTES NEED TO HAVE "PAGE 2" OF DEPUTY ILLEGAL "POLICE REPORT" STRIKEN. IGNORED! I MOTIONED FOR A "MOTIONS HEARING." IGNORED!  
EVERYTHING FILED -> IGNORED! NO, THE STAGE WAS SET, AND J.P. WAS SALVAGING AT PROCEEDING WITH THE STAGES, (CARELESS, "CONVICTIONS" -> AND ORDERING ME JAILED -> NAMELESS FOR CALLING UNRELIABLE!! I AM HAVING A HARD TIME BELIEVING DEPUTY COUNTY ATTORNEY

2. A LEGITIMATE BENCH TRIAL IS REQUIRED TO CONSIDER ALL PLEADINGS IN THIS RECORD. IF I ESCAPED TO PARTICIPATE, I COULD HAVE MERELY "RESTED ON MY PLEADINGS."

3. DEPUTY CLAIMS BODY-CAMERA RECORDING - MADE PART OF THIS RECORD VIA DISCLOSURE - NIOSIAND WAS AT I AM "PROTECTED PERSON" STATES: "COMPLETELY -> W-R-O-N-G" POLICE REPORT LISTS ->

I AM "PROTECTED PERSON" M-U-S-T BE SPECIFICALLY ORDERED. AS "VICTIM" HE TRIED TO "CLEAN IT UP" BY ILLEGALLY ALDIP  
"PAGE TWO" LISTED SUPERVISOR -> IT WAS AN "ILLEGAL ALTERATION OF A PUBLIC RECORD" -> A FELONY CRIME!  
REFUSED TO ORDER A SINGLE

4. BENCH J.P. MOTIONS HEARING! NO ONE!  
5. I WAS ALSO ACCUSED OF DIRECT CONTEMPT OF COURT FOR REFERRING TO COUNTY AS STAFFED BY "THUGS."  
AS "ENTIRE" AND REFERRING TO THIS

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS  
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE  
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED  
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE  
REMAINDER OF THE  
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,  
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST  
IN WRITING TO THE  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL  
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE  
THE COMMISSION CASE  
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**