

**SUPREME COURT OF ARIZONA**

IN THE MATTER OF AN APPLICATION FOR	)	Supreme Court
REINSTATEMENT OF A SUSPENDED MEMBER	)	No. SB-06-0006-R
OF THE STATE BAR OF ARIZONA,	)	
	)	
<b>WALTER E. MOAK,</b>	)	Disciplinary Commission
<b>Bar No. 004849</b>	)	No. 04-6002
	)	
APPLICANT.	)	<b>ORDER OF REINSTATEMENT</b>
_____		)

Applicant **WALTER E. MOAK** has established to the satisfaction of the Disciplinary Commission and this Court that he is qualified for reinstatement to active bar membership; now, therefore, pursuant to Rule 65 of the Rules of the Supreme Court,

IT IS ORDERED that **WALTER E. MOAK** be and hereby is reinstated as an active member of the State Bar of Arizona effective the date of this Order, and is placed on probation for a period of two (2) years, under the terms and conditions as listed below:

- 1) Applicant must contact the director of MAP, within 30 days from the order of reinstatement to conduct an assessment. Applicant must then enter into a MAP contract calling for psychotherapy, as well as any recommendations made by the MAP director.
  
- 2) Applicant must contact the director of LOMAP, within 30 days from the order of reinstatement and enter into a LOMAP agreement. Applicant must also find a qualified practice monitor approved by bar counsel and the LOMAP director within 30 days of the effective date of probation.
  
- 3) In the event that Applicant fails to comply with any of the foregoing conditions, and the State Bar receives information, bar counsel shall file with the Hearing Officer a Notice of Non-Compliance, pursuant to Rule 60(a)5, Ariz. R. S. Ct. The Hearing Officer shall conduct a hearing within thirty days after receipt of said notice, to determine whether the terms of probation have been violated and if an additional sanction should be imposed. In the event there is an allegation that any of these terms have been violated, the burden of proof shall be on the State Bar of Arizona to prove non-compliance by clear and convincing evidence.

Justice Bales did not participate in the determination of this matter.

DATED this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2006

---

Ruth V. McGregor  
Chief Justice

TO:

Walter E. Moak, Applicant (Certified Mail, Return Receipt and Regular Mail)

J. Scott Rhodes, Applicant's Counsel

Robert B Van Wyck, State Bar of Arizona

Richard N. Goldsmith, Hearing Officer 7I

Patricia Seguin, Disciplinary Clerk (Cert. Copy)

Sandra Montoya, Lawyer Regulation Records Manager, State Bar of Arizona (Cert. Copy)

Cathy Catterson, Clerk, United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit (Cert. Copy)

Richard Weare, Clerk, United States District Court, District of Arizona (Cert. Copy)

West Publishing Company (Jode Ottman)

Lexis-Nexis