

SUPREME COURT OF ARIZONA

IN THE MATTER OF A SUSPENDED MEMBER) OF THE STATE BAR OF ARIZONA,))) SEAN M. COE,) Bar No. 016150)) RESPONDENT.))	Supreme Court No. SB-06-0154-D Disciplinary Commission Nos. 05-0363, 05-0416, 05-0706 05-0789, 05-0891, 05-1344 05-1446, 05-1756 JUDGMENT AND ORDER
---	---	--

This matter having come on for hearing before the Disciplinary Commission of the Supreme Court of Arizona, it having duly rendered its decision, there having been no discretionary review and *sua sponte* review having been declined by the Court,

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that **SEAN M. COE**, a suspended member of the State Bar of Arizona, is hereby disbarred from the practice of law, effective the date of this judgment and order, for conduct in violation of his duties and obligations as a lawyer, as disclosed in the Disciplinary Commission Report.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that **SEAN M. COE** shall be placed on probation for a period of two years upon reinstatement and effective upon the signing of the probation contract. Bar Counsel shall notify the Disciplinary Clerk of the date on which the probation begins. The terms of probation are as follows:

- 1) Respondent shall be required to participate in the State Bar’s Law Office Management Assistance Program and Member Assistance Program, in addition to any other terms of probation determined upon reinstatement.

- 2) In the event that Respondent fails to comply with any of the foregoing conditions, and the State Bar receives information to that effect, bar counsel shall file with the Hearing Officer a Notice of Non-Compliance, pursuant to Rule 60(a)5, Ariz. R. Sup. Ct. The Hearing Officer shall conduct a hearing within thirty days after receipt of said notice, to determine whether the terms of probation have been violated and if an additional sanction should be imposed. In the event there is an allegation that any of these terms have been violated, the burden of proof shall be on the State Bar of Arizona to prove non-compliance by clear and convincing evidence.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that **SEAN M. COE** shall pay restitution in the following amounts to the following individuals:

Hilda and Robert Ortiz	\$2,500.00
Rose Barker	\$7,500.00
Tyler Griffith-Mercer	\$1,700.00
Vionna Jose	\$7,500.00

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent shall comply with all applicable provisions of Rule 72, Rules of the Supreme Court of Arizona, and that he should promptly inform this Court of his compliance with this order as provided in Rule 72(e).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent shall comply with all rule provisions regarding reinstatement proceedings.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent shall be assessed costs and expenses of the disciplinary proceedings as provided in Rule 60(b).

DATED this _____ day of _____, 2007.

NOEL K. DESSAINT
Clerk of the Court

TO:

Sean M. Coe, Respondent (Certified Mail, Return Receipt and Regular Mail)
Clarence E. Matherson, Jr., Bar Counsel
Bruce G. Macdonald, Hearing Officer
Lauren E. Eiler, Disciplinary Clerk (Cert. Copy)
Sandra Montoya, Lawyer Regulation Records Manager, State Bar of Arizona (Cert. Copy)
Perry Thompson, Director of Admissions, Supreme Court of the United States (Cert. Copy)
Cathy Catterson, Clerk, United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit (Cert. Copy)
Richard Weare, Clerk, United States District Court, District of Arizona (Cert. Copy)
West Publishing Company (Jode Ottman)
Lexis/Nexis

tel