

SUPREME COURT OF ARIZONA

IN THE MATTER OF A SUSPENDED MEMBER) OF THE STATE BAR OF ARIZONA,))) GEORGE VICE, III,) Bar No. 011753)) RESPONDENT.) _____))	Supreme Court No. SB-07-0145-D Disciplinary Commission No. 05-1690 JUDGMENT AND ORDER
---	---	--

This matter having come on for hearing before the Disciplinary Commission of the Supreme Court of Arizona, it having duly rendered its decision, there having been no discretionary review and *sua sponte* review having been declined by the Court,

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that **GEORGE VICE, III**, a suspended member of the State Bar of Arizona, is suspended from the practice of law for three months effective the date of this judgment and order for conduct in violation of his duties and obligations as a lawyer, as disclosed in the Disciplinary Commission Report.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that **GEORGE VICE, III** shall be placed on probation for a period of one year upon reinstatement and effective upon the signing of the probation contract. Bar Counsel shall notify the Disciplinary Clerk of the date on which the probation begins. The terms of probation are as follows:

- 1) Respondent shall participate in the Member Assistance Program (MAP).
- 2) In the event that Respondent fails to comply with any of the foregoing conditions, and the State Bar receives information to that effect, bar counsel shall file with the Hearing Officer a Notice of Non-Compliance, pursuant to Rule 60(a)5, Ariz. R. Sup. Ct. The Hearing Officer shall conduct a hearing within thirty days after receipt of said notice, to determine whether the terms of probation have been violated and if an additional sanction should be imposed. In the event there is an allegation that any of these terms have been violated, the burden of proof shall be on the State Bar of Arizona to prove non-compliance by clear and convincing evidence.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent shall comply with all applicable provisions of Rule 72, Rules of the Supreme Court of Arizona, and should promptly inform this Court of his compliance with this Order as provided in Rule 72(e).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent shall comply with all rule provisions regarding reinstatement proceedings.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent shall be assessed costs and expenses of the disciplinary proceedings as provided in Rule 60(b).

DATED this _____ day of _____, 2007.

RACHELLE M. RESNICK
Clerk of the Court

TO:

George Vice, III, Respondent (Certified Mail, Return Receipt and Regular Mail)
Donald M. Peters, Bar Counsel
Martin Lieberman, Hearing Officer
Lauren E. Eiler, Disciplinary Clerk (Cert. Copy)
Sandra Montoya, Lawyer Regulation Records Manager, State Bar of Arizona (Cert. Copy)
Cathy Catterson, Clerk, United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit (Cert. Copy)
Richard Weare, Clerk, United States District Court, District of Arizona (Cert. Copy)
West Publishing Company (Jode Ottman)
Lexis-Nexis

tel