

days after receipt of said notice, to determine whether the terms of probation have been violated and if an additional sanction should be imposed. In the event there is an allegation that any of these terms have been violated, the burden of proof shall be on the State Bar of Arizona to prove non-compliance by clear and convincing evidence.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent shall comply with all applicable provisions of Rule 72, Rules of the Supreme Court of Arizona, and promptly inform this Court of his compliance with this Order as provided in Rule 72(e).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent shall comply with all rule provisions regarding reinstatement proceedings.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to Rule 60(b), the State Bar of Arizona is granted judgment against **GARETH C. HYNDMAN, II** for costs and expenses of these proceedings in the amount of \$787.61, together with interest at the legal rate from the date of this judgment. Respondent shall pay these costs and expenses within thirty days of the date of this Judgment and Order.

DATED this _____ day of _____, 2008.

Rachelle M. Resnick
Clerk of the Court

TO:

Gareth C. Hyndman, II, Respondent (Certified Mail, Return Receipt and Regular Mail)
Gregory N. Navazo, Respondent's Counsel
Matthew E. McGregor, Bar Counsel
Philip M. Haggerty, Hearing Officer 6K
Leticia V. D'Amore, Disciplinary Clerk (Cert. Copy)
Sandra Montoya, Lawyer Regulation Records Manager, State Bar of Arizona (Cert. Copy)
Cathy Catterson, Clerk, United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit (Cert. Copy)
Richard Weare, Clerk, United States District Court, District of Arizona (Cert. Copy)
Jode Ottman, West Publishing Company
Lexis/Nexis

clf