

SUPREME COURT OF ARIZONA

IN THE MATTER OF A MEMBER)	Supreme Court
OF THE STATE BAR OF ARIZONA,)	No. SB-10-0006-D
)	
)	Disciplinary Commission
)	No. 08-2276
STEPHANIE C. STOLTMAN,)	
Bar No. 021344)	FILED 01/27/2010
)	
RESPONDENT.)	JUDGMENT AND ORDER
_____)

This matter having come before the Disciplinary Commission of the Supreme Court of Arizona, it having duly rendered its decision, and there having been no discretionary or *sua sponte* review occurring,

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that **STEPHANIE C. STOLTMAN**, a member of the State Bar of Arizona, is hereby censured for conduct in violation of her duties and obligations as a lawyer, as disclosed in the Disciplinary Commission Report.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that **STEPHANIE C. STOLTMAN** shall be placed on probation for a period of one (1) year. The terms of probation are as follows:

1. The probation period shall begin to run at the entry of the Judgment and Order, and will conclude one year from the entry of the Judgment and Order.
2. Respondent shall contact the Director of LOMAP within 30 days of the date of the final Judgment and Order. Respondent shall submit to a LOMAP examination of her office procedures, including, but not limited to, compliance with trust accounting requirements. The Director of LOMAP shall develop "Terms and Conditions of Probation" and those terms shall be incorporated as part of the final Judgment and Order. Respondent shall be responsible for any costs associated with LOMAP.
3. Respondent shall deposit \$1,043.00 into her trust account to cure the balance of the deficiency.
4. Respondent shall attend a half day of TAEPP. Respondent shall contact the Program Coordinator of the State Bar of Arizona, within 20 days from the date of the Judgment and Order. Respondent shall be responsible for the cost of attending the program.
5. Respondent shall refrain from engaging in any conduct that would violate the Rules of Professional Conduct or other Rules of the Supreme Court of Arizona.

6. In the event that Respondent fails to comply with any of the foregoing probation terms, and information thereof is received by the State Bar of Arizona, Bar Counsel shall file a Notice of Noncompliance with the imposing entity pursuant to Rule 60(a)(5), Ariz.R.Sup.Ct.. The imposing entity may refer the matter to a Hearing Officer to conduct a hearing at the earliest practicable date, but in no event later than 30 days after receipt of notice, to determine whether a term of probation has been breached and, if so, to recommend an appropriate sanction. If there is an allegation that Respondent failed to comply with any of the foregoing terms, the burden of proof shall be on the State Bar of Arizona to prove noncompliance by a preponderance of the evidence.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to Rule 60(b), the State Bar of Arizona is granted judgment against RESPONDENT for costs and expenses of these proceedings in the amount of \$1,555.00 together with interest at the legal rate from the date of this judgment.

DATED this _____ day of January, 2010.

Rachelle M. Resnick
Clerk of the Court

TO:

Stephanie C. Stoltman, Respondent

Stephen P. Little, Bar Counsel

Hon. H. Jeffrey Coker, Hearing Officer 6R

Leticia V. D'Amore, Disciplinary Clerk

Sandra Montoya, Lawyer Regulation Records Manager, State Bar of Arizona

Molly Dwyer, Clerk, United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit

Attn: Don Lewis

Richard Weare, Clerk, United States District Court, District of Arizona (Cert. Copy)

Attn: Beth Stephenson

West Publishing Company (Jode Ottman)

Lexis/Nexis

chj